recognize him and give him the opportunity to ask a supplementary question.

Mr. Thompson: Mr. Speaker, my supplementary question is this. First, will the Prime Minister inform us whether he expects the debate in this house to continue on the subject we are presently debating; under whose leadership will it continue in view of difficulties this house faced last night. Second, will he please advise the people of western Canada, and I consider it a dubious honour for them to be told, whether they are more important than his problems with the House of Commons are. Would he also say what his program and itinerary is to be?

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. Orders of the day.

GOVERNMENT ORDERS

PROCEDURE AND ORGANIZATION

MOTION FOR CONCURRENCE IN THIRD REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

The house resumed, from Thursday, July 10, consideration of the motion of Mr. Blair that the third report of the Standing Committee on Procedure and Organization, presented to the house on Friday, June 20, 1969, be concurred in, and the amendment thereto of Mr. Baldwin (p. 10963).

Mr. Speaker: The hon. member for Vancouver Quadra.

Mr. Grant Deachman (Vancouver Quadra): Mr. Speaker—

Some hon. Members: Filibuster! Filibuster!

Some hon. Members: Leaky Deaky! Leaky Deaky!

Mr. Deachman: Mr. Speaker, I welcome the opportunity—

Some hon. Members: Filibuster!

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. I suggest hon. members should forget the question period and give the hon. member an opportunity to make a speech.

Some hon. Members: Filibuster! Filibuster!

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. I think hon. members ought to know that I have no intention of ruling that using the word "filibuster" is unparliamentary. The hon. member for Vancouver Quadra.

[Mr. Speaker.]

Mr. Bell: He is a real hypocrite.

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please.

Some hon. Members: Withdraw.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. We are obviously getting into more difficulty. The hon. member for Saint John-Lancaster might perhaps use other words that are not unparliamentary.

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh.

• (12:10 p.m.)

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. The suggestion I made when that word was used earlier was that we cannot impute motives. I am sure the hon. member did not want to impute motives.

Mr. Bell: With a great deal of respect, I did exactly the same as the Prime Minister.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. Now that we have established that there were no motives imputed on either side of the house, we shall go on with the business of the house.

Mr. Deachman: Mr. Speaker, it is not often that a private member has the opportunity to be welcomed with such fervour in the house in rising to intervene in a debate—

An hon. Member: You had better check your dictionary.

Mr. Deachman: I must say I welcome the opportunity of intervening for a short time this morning, especially as the house is in such a light and jocular mood when discussing such a serious topic.

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh!

Mr. Deachman: Before I come to the remarks I want to make, there is one point which I would like to straighten out for the purpose of the record. On the C.B.C. program, "The World At Eight", this morning the hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre (Mr. Knowles) claimed that the lack of a quorum yesterday demonstrated the lack of interest by Liberal M.P.'s in the rules debate.

An hon. Member: We have you all here this morning.

Mr. Deachman: I know the hon. member wants to have it straight on the record because he has great pride in parliament and its procedures. I think he would want to have it on the record that the private members'