ters of health. Any honourable senator who has read the debate on this bill in the other house will know that the emphasis there was on the health aspects of the bill, namely, the scarcity of doctors, the improvement of the health of the people, and related matters.

For these reasons, honourable senators, it is my humble opinion that this bill should be referred to the Standing Committee on Public Health and Welfare. After all, what is the use in having a Standing Committee on Public Health and Welfare if we do not refer to it matters pertaining to health. I would suggest that the honourable sponsor of the bill (Hon. Mr. Macnaughton) move that the bill be referred to the Standing Committee on Public Health and Welfare.

Hon. John J. Connolly: Honourable senators, I should have spoken to Senator Brooks before he raised this issue. I spoke to Senator Gershaw, chairman of the Standing Committee on Public Health and Welfare, the other day, and we came to the conclusion that because that committee has not sat for some time and the Standing Committee on Banking and Commerce has been sitting regularly, that at this stage of the session, with the possibility of many members of this house being away, it was desirable that this bill should be referred to the Banking and Commerce Committee. However, the real reason that moved me to suggest to the sponsor of the bill that it be referred to the Banking and Commerce Committee was that the bill is primarily a financial bill. It concerns the expenditure of public money.

I would point out that there is no prohibition against any member of the Senate attending the meeting of that committee and taking part in its proceedings. If an honourable senator who is not a member of the committee wishes an amendment made, I am sure there will be no difficulty about arranging for a member of the committee to make the motion.

For these reasons I ask the Senate to agree to the motion of the honourable sponsor of the bill.

Motion agreed to, on division.

## DIVORCE

## RESOLUTIONS ADOPTED

The Senate proceeded to consideration of Suzanne Capraro Leonard. Resolutions numbered 891 to 1021, inclusive, which were presented yesterday.

Hon. Arthur W. Roebuck, Chairman of the Standing Committee on Divorce, moved the adoption of the following resolutions:

Resolution 891, for the relief of William Peter Reid.

Resolution 892, for the relief of Marie Thérèse Fernande Brais Archambault.

Resolution 893, for the relief of Mary Renee Frenette Menard.

Resolution 894, for the relief of James Hubert Carlin McMahon.

Resolution 895, for the relief of Joseph Jacques Girard.

Resolution 896, for the relief of Odette Ladouceur Mathieu.

Resolution 897, for the relief of Adrienne Landry Fournier.

Resolution 898, for the relief of Donna Audrey Joyce Heckman Gleason.

Resolution 899, for the relief of Doris May Lillian Brabant Tessier.

Resolution 900, for the relief of Helmut Hubert Hermes.

Resolution 901, for the relief of Rebekah Naomi Paltiel Lowi.

Resolution 902, for the relief of Joseph Ulric André Gagné.

Resolution 903, for the relief of Evdoxia Argyriadou Halivelakis.

Resolution 904, for the relief of Anna Lazar Baron.

Resolution 905, for the relief of Marie Cécile Martel Lavoie.

Resolution 906, for the relief of Nicole Le Petrie Denis.

Resolution 907, for the relief of Sheila Louise Hayes Shepherd.

Resolution 908, for the relief of Freda Beatrice Cooper Stutt.

Resolution 909, for the relief of Edith O'Sullivan Hack Johansson.

Resolution 910, for the relief of Shirley Ann Cecillia Everitt Cunningham.

Resolution 911, for the relief of Barbara Ann Kelly Miner.

Resolution 912, for the relief of Ruth Jacqueline Julien Bohbot.

Resolution 913, for the relief of Pauline Drimer Kreaden.

Resolution 914, for the relief of Arnold Ritchie Rogers.

Resolution 915, for the relief of Rachel

Resolution 916, for the relief of Gunda Elizabeth Radbill Haas.