

## S. O. 52

would like to know if there has been a change in the policy of hearing from all three parties before you take matters under further consideration, because that is what I was trying to do.

**Mr. Speaker:** First of all I want to say to the hon. member that under no circumstances would the Chair deliberately preclude the hon. member from rising on a matter. I asked several times. The transcript may assist us in this but I do not think there is any need to go into it. I was having some difficulty understanding exactly on what point the hon. member was rising and I got divided versions on it. At least that was my interpretation.

I then said: Look, I have heard this issue. I am going to consider it. I am going to come back to the House. I thought I made it clear that I will hear further argument if it is appropriate to do so and, of course, I will. I would be very pleased to hear the hon. member at that time.

I have also a notice from the hon. member for Winnipeg—Transcona on a question of privilege. That is what I am trying to get to now.

**Mr. Blaikie:** Mr. Speaker, we are just not communicating today. My point of privilege is that you did not recognize me earlier when you should have.

**Mr. Speaker:** First of all, I am not at all sure that is a point of privilege. Second, that can sometimes happen to members in the House. We all know that. In any event, I am saying to the hon. member that he has not intentionally been precluded from addressing the Chair in any way, shape or form.

• (1530)

The hon. member does have, because I have it in writing, an application on a question of privilege which I received before this other matter came up, and I will now hear him.

**Mr. Blaikie:** I beg to correct you, Mr. Speaker. I sent this notice of a point of privilege after I could not get recognized on the point of order having to do with the parliamentary secretary. I have no other point of privilege.

**Mr. Speaker:** We may be able to move ahead quickly here. We have a point of order I gather arising out of

Question Period. Perhaps the hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre could assist the Chair.

\* \* \*

## POINT OF ORDER

## COMMENT DURING QUESTION PERIOD

**Mr. David Walker (Winnipeg North Centre):** Mr. Speaker, in response to a question yesterday, the Minister of State for Employment and Immigration, as recorded at page 7834 of *Hansard*, inadvertently left the impression that the deduction under day care had risen 400 per cent, from \$1,000 to \$5,000. In the budget speech on page 15 it is clearly indicated that it rose 25 per cent, from \$4,000 to \$5,000.

\* \* \*

## WAYS AND MEANS

## TABLING OF NOTICE OF MOTION

**Hon. John McDermid (Minister of State (Finance and Privatization)):** Mr. Speaker, pursuant to Standing Order 83(1), I wish to table a notice of a ways and means motion to amend the Excise Tax Act and other legislation respecting the implementation of the goods and services tax, and I ask that an Order of the Day be designated for consideration of the motion.

\* \* \*

## MOTION TO ADJOURN UNDER S. O. 52

## SOFTWOOD INDUSTRY—SPEAKER'S RULING

**Mr. Speaker:** The hon. member for Prince George—Bulkley Valley again put an application for an emergency debate, this time exclusively with respect to the counter-vailing action by the United States with respect to softwood lumber.

I again listened carefully in the House and I think I should advise the hon. member that while it is an important matter, I am not prepared today to proceed with an emergency debate.

**Mr. Dave Worthy (Cariboo—Chilcotin):** Mr. Speaker, I do not want to suggest your ruling is incorrect in defining it as an emergency when requesting an emergency debate. I would like, through you, Mr. Speaker, to