

Mr. Speaker: Order. The member for Ville-neuve is rising on a question of privilege.

Mr. Caouette: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a question of privilege. The hon. member for Three Rivers is giving a dishonest interpretation of the subamendment. There is no suggestion of a division in the subamendment.

Mr. Speaker: Order. The hon. member for Three Rivers has the floor and I would suggest he continue with his remarks.

Mr. Balcer: Mr. Speaker, I am not here to legislate only in matters of interest to my province. I am here to take an interest in the affairs of the whole country. I know for a fact that the province of Quebec will be strong and prosperous inasmuch as the whole of Canada is strong and prosperous, and vice versa.

I am here to lend a hand to all members, be they French speaking or English speaking, who want to work for the good of our country. Indeed, such are the basic principles of the Conservative party which led to the birth of confederation as we know it today.

Mr. Speaker, we are against nuclear stockpiling anywhere in Canada, especially when it is forced upon us by foreigners.

One of the members of the Ralliement Creditistes claimed that he was the spokesman for the province of Quebec in the house. Well, it would be most depressing, to say the least, if this ridiculous subamendment expressed the views of the people of Quebec.

We are glad that the province of Quebec is undergoing great social changes at present. French Canadians intend to exercise all their rights as citizens of Canada, and they are willing to assume their responsibilities. The French Canadians I know wish to play a role of national importance; they will not be confined within a ghetto as the Caouette group advocates.

Mr. Speaker, if the latter had wanted to defeat the government, they would have moved a subamendment which would apply to the whole of Canada. Instead, they propose a division of Canada which would turn the province of Quebec into a reserve.

[Text]

Mr. Speaker: Order. It being 8.15 o'clock p.m. it is my duty, pursuant to section 4 (d) of standing order 56, to interrupt these proceedings and put forthwith the question on any amendment or amendments now before the house.

The house divided on the amendment to the amendment (Mr. Caouette) which was negated on the following division:

YEAS

Messrs:

Beaule
Belanger

Boutin
Brewin

Caouette
Côté (Chicoutimi)
Dionne
Douglas
Frenette
Gauthier
Girouard
Gregoire
Herridge
Knowles
Langlois
Laprise

Division

Latulippe
Lessard (Lake St. John)
Marcoux
Martin (Timmins)
Orlikow
Ouellet
Perron
Plourde
Prittie
Rondeau
Webster—27.

NAYS

Messrs:

Addison
Aiken
Alkenbrack
Armstrong
Asselin (Notre Dame de Grace)
Asselin (Richmond-Wolfe)
Badanai
Balcer
Baldwin
Basford
Batten
Bechard
Beer
Bell
Benidickson
Benson
Berger
Bigg
Blouin
Boulanger
Brown
Byrne
Cadieux (Terrebonne)
Cameron (High Park)
Cameron (Nanaimo-Cowichan-The Islands)
Cantelon
Cantin
Cardiff
Cardin
Caron
Carter
Cashin
Casselman, Mrs.
Chatterton
Chevrier
Choquette
Chretien
Churchill
Clancy
Coates
Cooper
Côté (Longueuil)
Cowan
Crossman
Crouse
Cyr
Danforth
Davis
Deachman
Denis
Deschatelets
Diefenbaker
Dinsdale
Doucett
Drouin
Drury
Dube
Dupuis
Emard
Enns
Ethier
Eudes
Fane
Favreau
Fleming
(Okanagan-Revelstoke)
Forbes
Forest
Forgie
Foy
Garland
Gelber
Gendron
Gordon
Graftey
Granger
Gray
Greene
Groos
Guay
Gundlock
Habel
Hahn
Haidasz
Hales
Hamilton
Harkness
Harley
Hays
Hellyer
Honey
Horner (Acadia)
Horner (Jasper-Edson)
Horner (The Battlefords)
Howard
Howe (Hamilton South)
Howe (Wellington-Huron)
Irvine
Jewett, Miss
Jorgenson
Kelly
Kennedy
Kindt
Klein
Korchinski
Lachance
Laing
LaMarsh, Miss
Lamb
Lambert
Lamontagne
Lamoureux
Laniel
Laverdiere
Leduc
Lessard (St. Henry)
Loiselle
Loney
Macaluso
Macdonald
MacEachen
MacEwan
MacInnis
Mackasey
MacLean (Queens)
MacNaught