SUPPLY—PUBLIC BUILDINGS — QUEBEC -Con.

Blain, R.-Con.

Quebec, 830. Fergus post office; seems to be the policy there to consult the Liberal Committee, 831. Liberal Committee, 831. Asks whether this is to be abolished, 832. The member for the city should explain the matter, 833.

Crocket, O. S. (Kent, N.B.)-829.

Asks if the \$47,000 contract covered wiring and heating, 829. Understands that the price was fixed by arbitration, 831.

Foster, Hon. Geo. E. (North Toronto)-828.

Asks uses, amount of contract, and concerning site, 828. Suggests adding the words 'post office' after 'public building', 829. Are to-day paying \$1,000 for accommodation, this building will cost \$10,000 for maintenance, 830.

Lancaster, E. A. (Lincoln)-830.

In St. Catharines the government made the city contribute to the site, 830.

Magrath, U. A. (Medicine Hat)-829.

Asks the revenue, 829. The minister said the Postmaster General supported the construction of this building, 830.

Pugsley, Hon. Wm. (Minister of Public Works)-828.

To provide for the completion of the building, dimensions, estimate and purchase of site, 828. Volume of business, tenders were called, rents paid at present, 829. Same custom in obtaining sites prevails in all the provinces, 830. The owner did not name any price, was informed it would be settled by arbitration, 831. No policy anywhere by which the recommendation of the Liberal Committee is accepted, 832. Area of the site, it was practically a vacant lot, 833.

Turcotte, J. P. (Quebec County)-833.

It is felt by all the people in the locality that the action of the government was in the public interest, 833.

Quebec Province—Immigration buildings

generally, \$5,000-926.

Fister, Hon. Geo. E. (North Toronto)-926.

The minister concerned in these public works makes it his business to be absent, 926. Would like to know the policy in regard to constructing immigration buildings in these small places, 927.

Pugsley, Hon. Wm. (Minister of Public Works)-926.

Customary vote for repairs at Montreal, Nominingue, Roberval and Quebec, 926. Stand till Minister of Interior is here, 927.

Picaud-public building, \$7,000-8814.

Lancaster, E. A. (Lincoln, Ont.)-8814.

Calls the attention of the minister (Hon. Wm. Pugsley) to the need of an up-to-date building at Grimsby, Ont., 8814. 279--201

SUPPLY—PUBLIC BUILDINGS — QUEBEC -Con.

Lancaster, E. A .- Con.

Begs the minister to look into the matter, 8815. The people are an earning people and a spending people, 8816.

Pugsley, Hon. Wm. (Minister of Public

Works)—8814. Population of Rigaud, Que., about 2,000, 8814. Promises to give the matter of a post office at Grimsby his consideration, 8816

Roberval-public building, \$15,000-927.

Armstrong, J. E. (East Lambton)-927.

Is it the custom to provide towns of 3,000 inhabitants with similar buildings? 927. Is it the policy to set apart a sum for buildings in small towns, or are only party friends given votes? 928.

Arthurs, James (Parry Sound)-930.

Calls attention to the need of a public building in Parry Sound, details of business, 930.

Blain, R. (Peel)-944.

Understood the minister that there was a requisition from the sitting member, 944. What they are trying to find is the policy of the department, 945. It would be less troublesome to have the letter, instead of parts, 946.

Blondin, P. E. (Champlain)-932.

Last year there was an estimate for Grand Mére, would like to know why it is cut out, 932.

Crocket, O. S. (York, N. B.)-936.

Asks whether this vote is justified because Roberval is the county town, 936. The rule laid down as to the requirements to justify a public building being erected, 937. Was there any request from the Post Office Department for this building? 938.

Gordon, G. (Nipissing)-940.

Thanks the minister for what he has done; urges the claims of Cobalt. 940.

Lancaster, E. A. (Lincoln)-928.

case of Grimsby. Sir William Mulock's promise. The government not using a good system, 928. Hopes that next year there will be a more equitable and fair arrangement, 929. The people would prefer ten \$10,000 adapted for business to five \$20,000 buildings, 939.
The item for that building first appeared in the estimates before the general election, 940.

Lennox, H. (South Simcoe)-929.

Being a county town no justification for the expenditure if the expenditure be not otherwise justifiable, 929. Are continually getting these lame excuses, these palpably unfair expenditures, 930.