U.S. TRADE LEGISLATION PROPOSALS 100th CONGRESS

Date: September 25, 1987

Page 30

| | | HOUSE | SENATE | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| | | | "Omnibus Trade and Compe- | | |
| | | "Trade and International Economic | titiveness Act of 1987"/ | | |
| SUBJECT | CURRENT LAW | Policy Reform Act of 1987"(HR3) | [H.R.3(S.1420)] | ADMIN POSITION | CON POSITION |
| | | [passed April 30, 1987] | [passed July 21, 1987] | | |
| | | | DC's in both management and | | |
| | | | technical skills re i.P. | | |
| | | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | protection. | | |
| 5. Patented Process | Provides limited protection for | Closes loophole whereby products | (S _• 1200) | Preference for Admin | Generally mirrors Canadian |
| | process patents. | made without authorization from a process patented in the U.S. | Essentially the same as title XIV of H.R.3 although | proposal in \$.635. H.R.3 version preferred | law and practice. Prefer latest possible effective |
| | | could be imported with inpunity. | somewhat more stringent. | to Senate wording. | date. |
| | | Establishes remedies similar to | Effective date of | | |
| | | product patents. Effective date | May 15/87. | | |
| | • | Jan. 1, 1987 - thus commercial | | | |
| | | activities predating grand- | • | | |
| | | fathered. | | | |
| VIII TELECOMMUNICATIONS | | | | • | |
| VII TELECOMMUNICATIONS | • | | | • | |
| 1. Standard of Foreign | No provision. | Fully competitive market | Substantially equivalent | Objects to sector | Canada also objects to |
| Openness | | opportunities for U.S. | market opportunities for | reciprocity concept. | sector reciprocity concept |
| | | telecommunications firms in | U.S. telecommunications | | |
| | | markets having barriers. | firms. | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2. Investigations/ | | · | | | |
| Negotiating Objectives | | | | • | |
| | | | | | |
| A. Investigations | No provision. | Within 180 days of enactment, | Within four months of | | |
| | | USTR to Identify foreign acts, | enactment, USTR to Identify | | and the second of the second o |
| | | policies and practices which deny | foreign acts, policies and | | |
| | | U.S. firms fully competitive | practices: (1) which deny | | |
| | | market opportunitles. | U.S. firms substantially | | |
| | | | | | |

USTR to establish specific

negotiating objectives for each country identified by (A) above.

B. Nagotiating Objectives

equivalent access, and (11) are inconsistent with U.S.

Trade Agreements.

No provision.