and its chances as dependent on individul administrators.

The Consolidation Act, 24 & 25 Vic. cap. 100, is the present code regulating the punishment dealt out by the law of England to the commission of crimes against the person. The annexed table shows the penalties attached to the different species of violence which it is the aim of this paper to diseuss.

SUMMARY CONVICTIONS,

Common assault	£5 fine or two months hard labour.
Aggravated assault on women	£20 fine or six months

INDICTABLE OFFENCES.

Grevious bodily harm.. Penal servitude for life.

Common assault...... 12 months' imprisonment.

Now there is no exaggeration in saying that dozens of cases are adjudicated on by magistrates under the first of these two headings which ought to be tried under the second. And, when so adjudicated, not even the full summary penalty—often not even half of it is inflicted. Indeed, it is enough to provoke the most phlegmatic person into anger, to see the kind of apathy with which some of the London magistrates regard the cases of assault brought before them, and the ridiculously slight fines with which they punish them. The larceny of petty articles is visited with months of hard labour, while (to give instances reported in the newspapers) knocking a womans's tooth out and cutting her face, pulling a handful of hair out by the roots, indecently assaulting a servant, striking a woman with a rake in the face, and wounding her that she faints, and other similar brutalities, have all been punished of late by the infliction of trumpery fines.

What is the consequence?—The savage spirit animating the ruffianism of London, and fostered by the Forcible Feebles at some of the courts, has full swing. Eyes blackened, noses broken, ears bitten off, frightful wounds, contusions, and lacerations are the fruits of the magisterial leniency. One magistrate in particular seems, since his appointment, to be ntterly blind and deaf to the complaints made for mere bodily injuries. In his court have been reported shocking assaults, not one of which has been visited with that bitter imprisonment which alone cures brutality.

Is it that the air of a London magistrate's has some enervating effect? Are the scenes and instances of shameful assaults and savage ferocity so numerous as to deaden the magisterial sensibility? Why is not the two months' penalty rigidly enforced in every assault where any bodily disfigurement or laceration—aye, be it the slightest—results, and why is not a minimum of fourteen days given to every other proved savage attack? Because the magistrates forget the precious value of limb and purses!

Of the strange perversity of judgement in this matter, which distinguishes many of the

London magistrates, enough has been said in a former number, under the title "Crimes of Violence and their Punishment." Rather is it intended in this paper to point out the pernicious leniency which extends to some courts of far higher than Metopolitan police courts. Not merely at the Middlesex Sessions have have the heavy sentences passed off for offences against property, and the light ones for offences against the person. A sentence of four months for manslaughter with the knife was passed by an eminent judge not long since. manslaughter is divided by the thinnest line from murder, and how paltry does it seem when compared with the heavy sentences of penal servitude inflicted at every assize and quarter sessions for robberies of articles of property.

Manslaughter, rape, assaults with intent, infliction of grevious bodily harm, and assaults resulting in any personal mutilation, ought by every rule of common sense to meet with most exemplary punishment. Yet they only seem to rank, in the minds of many administrators of the criminal law, with robberies, thefts, and forgeries, and generally below these last in heniousness. A lamentable perversion of judgment this, and most terrible in its con-The brutal violence of our English sequences. savages is, in effect, a result more or less of a pernicious idea that the person may be injured with little risk, while the pocket is guarded by the most terrible rigour of the law. this idea is forthwith exploded by the infliction of very heavy punishment (with no remission) for violence, the lawlessness which has temporarily grown up among the dangerous classes will have terrible results. Already rowdyism and ferocity seem to have infected the mobs in many places in an unusual degree, and the sooner the lesson is taught that the Law is above all in England, the better for everyone's welfare.

Property is as nothing compared with life and limb. Who does not regard the robber of his watch as a far less culpable offender than the villian who stabs or beats him to death's The sharp sting of the lash, the terrors of the hulks, and the rigour of prison life are the only fit reprisals for crimes of brutal violence committed for mere savagery and love of inflicting pain. The wife beater, the villains who offer violence to women, the smashers of bones with pokers and hobnailed boots, the cannibals who bite off ears and noses, the ruffians who use quart pots as lethal weapons, and the vitriol throwers, are the worst criminals in England. By their side, the shoplifter, the watch stealer, the pickpocket, and the swindler are trifling offenders. And until the judges and the magistrates adopt this classification, we shall continue to shudder and sicken at the devilish brutality and cruelty which crop up at every gaol delivery.

It cannot be denied that the London stiperdiary magistrates have done much, by their leniency towards mere acts of violence, in deadening the minds of criminals towards the