

only to maintain more fully the spiritual power and Divine truth of the Holy Scriptures." Strange recommendation from such a basis? Notwithstanding the fact that the conservative view has produced the very results most needed, we propose to teach a different view, and one which has not vindicated itself as producing such grand results. In public we must do it with caution, for there are the "alert and intelligent conservatives," but in the lecture room we will not be exposed to this danger. Here we will have a receptive audience, and one which by its very life wants everything "modern." Imagine men trying to give emphasis to the "power and truth" of a book which they declare contains much that is not reliable. See the vain attempt to teach truth and purity of conduct from a book which by their own interpretation holds its place by deceit and fraud. The Deity Himself is made to speak out through Moses, though he never wrote the book. And on such a basis men expect the world to learn and practice righteousness. It is a vain and perilous attempt. This type of teaching has nowhere vindicated itself as capable of producing "robust and aggressive Christian character." Wherever it has prevailed paralysis has come on the Church. If there are spiritual and evangelical men holding such views, they owe it to an early environment of the conservative type. The logical results will land them in the denial of the supernatural.

THE FINAL WORD.

The final word must be that this modern interpretation of the inspiration of the Holy Scriptures is not "evangelically sound," but destructive to their Divine authority. Men who hold and teach such doctrine are not safe or desirable teachers to have in our colleges.