

Did Mr. Stuart require all those whom he supposed to be inclined to vote for you to take the oath?—Generally he did, telling the Returning Officer to make them swallow all the three oaths; and even went so far as to require old men whose hair was wholly grey, to take the oath of being of age.

Did he prosecute the persons whom he had thus threatened?—Yes; and he kept them from term to term for more than two years, exacting heavy bail from them; whilst he did not prosecute any of those who had voted for himself, and to whom he had said, they had nothing to fear.

Is it not within your knowledge that in the course of the said Election, the Attorney General, publicly, and on the hustings, threatened J. K. Welles, Esqr., that if he did not make greater exertions to forward the election, he would report him to the Governor?—Yes; once at least, and perhaps twice, and that was done in a violent and very imperative manner.

Did not Mr. Stuart, having got one or two persons to promise to vote for him, whom he supposed to be inclined to do so, when those persons voted against him, prosecute them?—Yes.

---

*Monday, 21st February, 1831.*

JACQUES LABRIE, Esquire, in the Chair.

Mr. *Henry Crebassa*, again examined :

Is it within your knowledge, that in the month of March last, a person named Schiller, who is a bailiff of the Court of King's Bench, came to you at Sorel, with some depositions that were ready prepared, one of which was addressed to you?—Yes; I saw one addressed to me, one addressed to Mr. Jones, one to Mr. Von Iffland, and another, I believe to Mr. Glackemeyer, Clerk of the Poll, at the time of the said Election. It appeared to me that they were in the hand-writing of the Attorney General.

Do you know the contents of those depositions?—They purported that during the Election at which Mr. Stuart had offered himself in opposition to Dr. Wolfred Nelson, he had not acted with that violence which had been ascribed to him, and which had been proved in the preceding term of the Criminal Court; that he had not in any way persuaded or solicited St. Germain to make oath that he was qualified to vote in respect of property; and that he had not used any threats to any Electors that he would prosecute them, even when they voted for Mr. Nelson.

Can you procure a copy of one of those affidavits for the Committee?—I have not one here; but I believe I can get one, and send it to you, when I get home. (The witness since transmitted the affidavit addressed to him, see Appendix (N.N.) to this Report.)

Who failed at the said Election, Mr. Stuart or Mr. Nelson?—It was the Attorney General.

Were proceedings for perjury taken against those who had voted at that Election, against Mr. Attorney General?—Yes; I believe against six or seven.

Were