the highway ng judgment neerst may be gendered for es. The verdic t is a verdic lamages. The tions to which tion, crim-conof insurance

Court between Brant, and the ried in Lamb bton and depay the attord was absenced by the court of the court of

in suc inform hi t would oc ocover agains ases was iere the cas esired him t and with suc I power to ta ice rendered nt was stipu e Master see ras taken d reasonable orget that b lever fail act fairly ar

ble.

ch—that is, i

makes up hi

fore doing so

he first search

t everything

appeal, to al

notice is served on Plaintiff that an appearance has been entered and he searches to see if it is so, a second search should then be charged.

When a Record has been entered for trial, say at Huron, and the venue is subsequently changed to Brant, and the record is again being entered at Brant, the same fees must be charged as if the record

had never been entered before.

When an attorney peforms services for his client in which no suit is involved, or in which no costs follow suit as in Division Court cases, paying taxes, interest, collecting rents, &c., &c., a commission of the attorney should be allowed. As to amount, it is in the discreion of the Master, according to the services rendered. When amounts are large, involving proportionately small labor, the percentage is ess than when the amounts are small and involving proportionately arge amounts of labor. In such cases, the master must exercise his win discretion, seeing that the attorney is fairly remunerated for he labor expended.

Where an affidavit of disbursements swears that a subpoena was asked in the cause, and the parties named in the schedule on the formula were subpoenaed and examined, we have always taxed subpoenaed and copy of same, on the grounds that they were sworn to.

The costs in Surrogate cases will depend on the court in which the proceedings are taken. If taken in the Surrogate, then the County Court scale must be adopted, if in the Court of Chancery, then the Chancery scale must be adopted. This would appear to be the case on reference to Re Osler, 24 Grant, 529, and Re Harris, 459.

I was asked if a defendant demurrs to plaintiffs declaration, and on argument of the demurer, judgment is given in favor of the plaintiff, subsequently the plaintiff is nonsuited at the assizes, on the taxation of costs, who is entitled to the term fee for the term in which the demurrer is argued. The rule is that the successful party is entitled to the costs of the cause including of course term fees, the unsuccessful party must bring himself within the exception of this rule. If the argument of the demurrer was the only proceeding taken that torm, which would carry a term fee, then plaintiff should have it, but if the defendant's pleading was in the same term, or the trial took place in the same term, or any other proceeding on the part of the defendant, which would entitle him to a term fee, then the ewould go to the defendants, in preference to the plaintiff.

A Sheriff is entitled to mileage in going to seize, also in going to Sheell, but I would say not in general for postponement of sales unless ander very peculiar circumstances. For instance, suppose he went to bell and circumstances arose at the sale that rendered a postponment for mperative, or that the parties to the suit both requested the postpone. In ment, then I would allow the mileage, otherwise not, because I would the mileage of the wind the sale that requested the postpone.

ot think it necessary under ordinary circumstances.

I am asked if a Sheriff seizes goods under an execution, and owing /* o their being a prior claim, he has to sell goods to a greater amount