

Mr. WHITMAN: Where is that statement?

Mr. GREEN: Lines 14 and 15, within the province of Alberta, Saskatchewan and Manitoba or outside Canada. They are not even asking for power to pipe the gas into Ontario and Mr. Patterson admitted to me quite frankly the first day they were not intending to pipe into Ontario or Quebec, and if they had more gas than needed in Saskatchewan and Manitoba, then they planned to pipe it down into the central States. Mr. Herring has even said that this morning, that in his opinion that is the economical way that any larger supply of gas should be marketed. So if Mr. McIvor thinks this bill is going to get him gas ahead of Duluth, I am afraid, will be disappointed.

Mr. McIVOR: Mr. Chairman, forget about Duluth or any other place. My amendment is to prevent gas going outside of Canada. Forget about Duluth.

Mr. NICKLE: Could you read the amendment again?

The CHAIRMAN: It is moved by Mr. McIvor that paragraph (a) of clause 6 be amended by inserting after the word "lines" in line 23 thereof the words: "provided that the main pipe line or lines for the transmission and transportation of gas and oil shall be located entirely within Canada."

Mr. FULTON: Mr. Chairman, that does not delete anything, it simply adds words.

Mr. GREEN: Furthermore, it was explained the first day that the way the gas would be marketed in the States would be for this company to take it to the border and then to turn it over to an American affiliate or American companies who wish to have a contract, and it would be marketed in the central United States by these other companies. So Mr. McIvor's particular amendment does not prevent that.

Mr. NICKLE: I would like to move a further amendment to this inasmuch as the whole case for Boundary Pipeline has been predicated on a pipe line from Medicine Hat to Winnipeg only. Here is my amendment: That the words "or outside Canada" in line 15, and the words "and/or international" in line 19 be deleted.

Mr. WHITMAN: There is another amendment. Line 18, "and/or international" pipe lines will also have to be deleted.

Mr. ROBINSON: Will the sponsors of those amendments please say what they mean. Perhaps I may elaborate on my question. Supposing these amendments are passed, what is to prevent this pipe line company from carrying their pipe line to the international border and then delivering to another pipe line operated by another pipe line company in the United States?

Mr. GREEN: That is exactly what they do.

Mr. HODGSON: That is the intention of the whole bill from the start.

Mr. ROBINSON: These amendments mean nothing and I intend to vote against them.

Mr. GREEN: Mind you, amendments of that kind might be very helpful when the Board of Transport Commissioners are considering the application. It might make it more difficult for this company to get permission to turn the gas over at the border, and in so far as it might be of help to that extent I would be in favour of those amendments. I think they could still get around it by selling the gas at the American border to an American company. The amendment would help some.

The CHAIRMAN: It would be pretty hard to prevent that.

Mr. NICKLE: Delete all the words from "or outside Canada" and "and/or international"; it would at least help to clarify the fact that applicants for pipe lines propose specific plans. We have one that clearly specified its intention and has already signed a contract with another gas line to expand its system