Mr. Lalonde, seconded by Mr. Faulkner, by leave of the House, introduced Bill C-211, An Act to provide for the payment of family allowances in respect of children to supplement the income of Canadian families and for the payment of special allowances to provide for the care and maintenance of other children, and to amend the Income Tax Act in consequence thereof, which was read the first time and ordered to be printed and ordered for a second reading at the next sitting of the House.

The text of the Message and Recommendation of the Governor General pursuant to Standing Order 62(2) in relation to the foregoing Bill is as follows:

His Excellency the Governor General recommends to the House of Commons a measure to provide, under the circumstances prescribed, for the payment of family allowances in respect of children to supplement the income of Canadian Families and for the payment of special allowances to provide for the care and maintenance of other children, in the amount of twenty dollars each month for each such child under eighteen years of age, and to provide for this amount to be increased to take into account changes in consumer price levels; to provide in a province that has by provincial law specified rates, for the payment of monthly family allowances in respect of each child to whom the provincial law applies, in accordance with those rates; and to repeal the present Family Allowances Act and Youth Allowances Act.

Pursuant to Standing Order 39(4), the following eight Questions were made Orders of the House for Returns:

### No. 217-Mr. Forrestall

- 1. In the period September 30, 1970 to December 31, 1972, how many women were appointed to a position or otherwise employed, other than under the provisions of Sections 8 and 9 of the Public Service Employment Act, by the government under conditions or remuneration equitable to a salary of \$10,000 or more per year and (a) what are their names (b) by whom were they employed (c) what was the rank of their positions?
- 2. How many men were appointed or otherwise employed under the same conditions during the same period of time?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/217.

#### No. 1,460-Mr. Haliburton

- 1. Between January 1, 1966 and January 1, 1973, how many tropical plants were purchased to decorate government buildings and offices in Ottawa?
- 2. In each year, how many such plants were purchased?
- 3. To which departments were such plants supplied and over the period January 1, 1966 to January 1, 1973, how many were supplied to each department?
- 4. What was the total cost for purchase and care of such plants during each year?

5. What firms supplied such plants and in what amounts by number, specie and dollar value?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/1,460.

# No. 1,841-Mr. Mazankowski

- 1. How many car rental concessionnaires are, at this date, operating at Department of Transport airports across Canada?
- 2. Who are they and where are they situated?
- 3. Is the Department proceeding with blueprints indicating that a decision has been reached allowing for an additional concessionnaire and, if so, who is it and at what airports will it be located?
- 4. Is the addition of this concessionnaire economically feasible, in relation to the present concessionaires and, if so, on what basis was this decision made?
- 5. (a) Is adequate parking space being provided for present concessionaires (b) are any additional ones being contemplated (c) how and what is the basis of allocation?
- 6. Is any consideration being given to those services provided by the wholly Canadian-owned car rental company?
- 7. For what reason does the Department of Transport allow an unlimited number of car rental operators at airports and yet restrict book shop, limousine and barber shop concessionaires?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/1,841.

#### No. 1.883-Mr. Matte

What are the names of the federal-provincial joint programmes for which each province has signed an agreement separately?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/1,883.

### No. 2,085-Mr. Schumacher

- 1. Did the government negotiate or participate in any way in the importation of Universal No. 65 Tractors from Roumania?
  - 2. What was the nature of such participation?
- 3. Were any arrangements made, or understandings given, concerning (a) guaranteeing the quality of these machines (b) availability of spare parts for them?
- 4. What was the nature of such arrangements?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/2,085.

## No. 2,088-Mr. Yewchuk

- 1. For the years 1969 to 1972 inclusive, what quantities, in bushels, of (a) fall wheat (b) spring wheat (c) rapeseed were produced in the Provinces of (i) Alberta (ii) Saskatchewan (iii) Manitoba?
- 2. For the same years, what was the average price per bushel, for each of these grains (a) paid by the Canadian Wheat Board to farmers from these provinces (b) sold by the Canadian Wheat Board to farmers from each of these provinces?
- 3. For the same years (a) what was the average export price per bushel for each of these grains (b) how did the Canadian Wheat Board dispose of any revenues it realized, for each of these grains it sold on the export market?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/2,088.