remembrance of the members of the legal practitioners who signed an agreement, dated June 21, 1865, to close their offices during the summer vacation at 3 p.m. These names are as follows:—Blake, Kerr & Wells; Crooks, Kingsmill & Cattanach; Cameron & McMichael; Ross, Lauder & Patteson; Gwynne, Armour & Hoskin; Robinson & McBride; C. Gamble & G. D. Boulton; Carroll & Paterson; Jarvis & Edgar; Hodgius & Chadwick; Bacon & Taylor; Murphy & Kingstone; Beatty & Chadwick; Smith & Wood; Morris & Smith; Bull & Boyd; Mackenzie & Freeland; Whitley & Esten; Read & Boyd; Jones Bros; J. Sawrin McMurray; Barrett & Evans; Atkinson & Boswell; Vance & Canavan; Bell, Crouther & Tilt; John Hector; Patton, Osler & Moss; O'Connor & Blevins; P. McGregor; Galt & Henderson; D. Blain; Van Koughnet & Warmoll; Geo. Martin Rae; Cameron & Harman; Cameron, Harman & Murray; C. Robinson; Helliwell & O'Brien; Ed. Fitzgerald; S. J. Van Koughnet; J. H. Doyle; Brough & Snelling; James Maclennan; Donoven & Hayes; Duggan & Burns; Boomer & Stephens; Cameron & Scott; D. Mitchell McDonald; Boyd & Stayner; Crawford & Crombie; Macdonald & Howard; Geo. B. Nicol; John Crickmore; Morrison & Sampson; John Leys; A. MacNabb; S. H. Strong; Paterson, Harrison & Paterson; George Brooke; Robert Sullivan; Columbus H. Green; Cameron & Smart; Alex. Lieth.

An analysis of the above list shows that twelve of these practitioners were subsequently appointed to the Bench; ten to the Superior Court, and two as County Court Judges. Only fourteen of the above list are living at this date. The list comprises most of the practitioners in Toronto at that time, but not all; notably Paterson & Beatty, successors to the firm in which the well known names of Robert Baldwin and Adam Wilson appeared.

flotsam and Zetsam.

THE "GIN" STORY-A TRUE STORY.

R., a hotel-keeper, was convicted of selling gin after hours and appealed to a District Court Judge of Ontario, of Irish extraction. Counsel for the accused was of the same extraction. The complainant, a provincial constable, testified that the offence had been committed and that he had personally tasted the gin. The following cross-examination ensued:—