

The Canada Law Journal.

VOL. XXVI.

NOVEMBER 15, 1890.

No. 18.

THE Bench of the United States has suffered a great loss by the death of Mr. Justice Miller of the Supreme Court. Although he did not enter law until his thirty-second year, he showed that he had found, at that comparatively late period, the avocation for which he was pre-eminently fitted. With a natural aptitude for law, he quickly discerned and decided on, in his own mind, the real issues of a case; and with a great practical experience of constitutional questions, he has left an imprint on the jurisprudence of that country which time will not readily efface.

THE Land Titles Act is being firmly established in Manitoba, and the day of the old registration system is past. Even now a considerable part of the province, and the larger portion of the more densely settled districts, has come under this Act. The new soil appears to be more congenial to this species of "titles" than the soil of our older province. We do not, of course, mean that the possibilities are equal in each province, but undoubtedly the Land Titles Act has thrived in the Province of Manitoba to a degree that it will take many years to attain with us.

We alluded in our last number to the disadvantages our brethren there were laboring under in matters of practice, and now we hear that the promised revision of the statutes will be a consolidation only. As it is ten years since the last revision, this announcement will cause much regret. It is considered by many in our own Province, that the benefit that would accrue to the profession by a quinquennial revision of our statutes would more than justify the expense. A similar improvement would seem applicable to Manitoba.

A PECULIAR motion for an injunction was made recently before the Superior Court at Indianapolis. The plaintiffs, two young Democratic lawyers of that city, are seeking to restrain their landlady from compelling them to vacate their room in her house. They allege that they cannot find another boarding-house in the precinct; that if they move they will lose their votes; and that their landlady, who is aware of this, is a Republican; and that, having no other cause of complaint against them, her only object in ordering them to leave is that they may be deprived of their suffrage at the ensuing election. The injunction was granted until trial. In this country where, fortunately for us, party feeling does not run quite so high, the rule *de minimis non curat lex* would probably apply to such a case, notwithstanding the value and importance of a vote; for