

NOTICE TO CORRESPONDENTS

This department of The Guide is maintained sepecially for the purpose of providing a discussion ground for the readers where they may freely exchange views and derive from each other the benefits of experience and helpful suggestions. Each correspondent should remember that there are hundreds who wish to discuss a problem or offer suggestions. We cannot publish all the immense number of latters received, and ask that each correspondent will keep his letter as short as possible. Every letter must be signed, by the name of the writer, though not necessarily for publication. The to make this department of great value to readers, and no letters not of public interest will be published.

CO-OPERATION NEEDED

Editor, Guide:-It was with great interest that I read the letter re Co-operation in your last issue of The Guide, and I am heartily in accord with the views expressed therein. We at. tend our annual convention year after year and pass strong resolutions on this machinery question and then it is left over to another year, and we are still paying the same outrageous prices for our machinery, and will continue to do so until we form a combine of our own to look after our own interests in the purchasing of our machinery, etc. If it pays the manufacturers to combine er to fix the prices at which they will sell their machinery to us farmers, why can't we do the same, and form a why can't we do the same, and to the large co-operative company to either buy direct from the factories or to manufacture our implements. But per-sonally I think if we could have our buyers to buy from the factories for cash, we ought to be able to buy our machinery at a saving of 20 or 25 per cent, on the present system. I would suggest making the share value \$10.00, allow each member to take ten shares, fix the selling price to cover the cost of handling and a fair return on the capital invested, and any profits to be divided so many cents on the dollar according to the amount of each member's pur-chases. Have distributing warehouses at suitable points throughout the prov-inces. Have an agent in each town or village connected with the Grain Growers' association; have each farmer give in a list of machinery he will need to purchase a suitable length of time be-fore he needs it; an agent to order from the warehouse and deliver the goods and take actilement either in cash or good take settlement either in cash or good notes. Now, brother farmers, let us hear from you on this subject. It means the saving of millions of dollars to us West-ern farmers and we need it. WILLIAM GRAINGER

St. Claude. Note.-If all our readers who have Note.—If all our readers who have been engaged in any co-operative work during the past year will send us a re-port of it before March 20, we will pub-lish it in our Co-operative number on March 27. This will help towards the solution required.—Editor.

ON GIVING ADVICE

Editor, Guide:-I started two or three times to write a letter to The Guide on the "advice" which the farmers of the West are receiving so freely these days. I wanted to make the letter short and mild, but when I would get started I would forget myself. There is so much that could be said from the farmers' standpoint, and I feel so strongly on the subject that it is difficult

Take, for example, the "advice" of the Minister of Trade and Commerce: "Go into mixed farming." Does he Does he know know what it means? the cost 7 The farmer knows something about this subject. He knows that it means more than having cattle and horses, sheep, hogs and poultry on the farm. He knows it means that he must have his farm fenced into fields, and he knows that fence posts and wire are not as cheap as "advice." He knows, too, something about the reight on these articles. He is not sure that freight rates will be reduced in the near THE GRAIN GROWERS' GUIDE

bank Mr. McKillican will name to Mr. McKillican's \$50, same to be given for a charitable purpose, that I will grow more grain to the acre of Henry Adams Alaska wheat than any other one kind that Mr. W. C. McKillican will grow at our Experimental Farm, Brandon, in

1912, provided it is not Alaska. I and my sons this year grew forty bushels to the acre of Preston on five acres, and the remainder went thirty bushels, still it will not yield like Alaska. GEORGE V. COOKE.

Thunder Hill, Swan River, Sec. 20-35-29, February 21, 1912. Man.

FOR DIRECT LEGISLATION

Editor, Guide:-Bert Huffman seems to have stirred up some of the oppon-ents of Direct Legislation, judging from the letters which have appeared in the last two issues of The Guide. This is last two issues of The Guide. This is well, for when the opposition to any reform has a weak case the oftener it is stated the better, as it grows weaker every time it is exposed. W. J. B. Cannan writes of "responsible govern-ment." I would like to know whom the members of the government are respon-sible to, certainly not the people. If I were to hire a man for five years and give him absolute control over my farm for that time, the only condition

farm for that time, the only condition attached being that, if he did not give me satisfaction, at the end of five years I could fire him and hire another man who would have exactly the same power, Mr. Cannan would say I was erazy. Yet that is the system upon which we con-duct our public business. After we have elected a set of men to be our rulers, we cannot compel them to do the things we want them to do, nor prevent them from doing things we do not want them to do. The only thing we can do is to hre them at the end of five can do is to hre them at the end of five years 11 they do not give us satisfac-tion. This is ''locking the stable door after the horse has gone.'' Mr. Cannan says that ''ander the British system of government the people can make them-selves heard very distinctly, e.g., reci-procity.'' Mr. Austin Droney, his co-worker against Direct Legislation, says: ''Every one knows it (reciprocity) was accomplished (defeated) by appeals, in the main basely false, to race and reli-gious prejudice.'' Let the gentlemen settle that matter

Let the gentlemen settle that matter between themselves. It is safe to say that if a Referendum vote was taken upon Reciprocity today, now that the storm of prejudice fomented by the party politicians has subsided, seventyfive per cent, of the people of Canada would vote for it. That the voice of the people can be distinctly heard on

the people can be distinctly heard on any one question when they are asked to vote "yes" or "no" on half a dozen questions with only one vote I deny. Mr. Droney evidently thinks majority rule is all right sometimes, e.g., when the members of the Chauvin Union voted down Direct Legislation. Yet he is op-posed to majority rule. Once more we would leave him to the tender mercies would leave him to the tender mercies of Mr. Cannan, who says the majority rule now. We will follow Mr. Droney's advice, while these two gentlemen are settling their little differences, and "perfect what we have."

Now that the rats are invading Southern Manitoba the farmers are elevating their barns and granaries. The rats of privilege have for some time been burrowing under our system of government, and if we would preserve the wealth which we produce we must elevate our government out of the reach of the rats. When our system of representative gov-ernment is based upon the concrete foundation of Direct Legislation, the

GARDENING FOR PLEASURE AND FOR PROFIT

The attention of people in the country will very shortly be turned to the preparation of their gardens, both vegetable gardens and flower gardens. We want to secure several articles relating experiences of our readers in the preparation and culture of vegetable or flower gardens. These articles should include the preparation and use of hot beds, the preparation of the soil, the selection of the WIII De lat of t seed, care of the garden and general results, and any other item of general interest. These articles must be not more than 1,000 words in length and must be written on only one side of the paper, and written very plainly. We want these articles at once, and will pay for all that we accept. You who have made a success at gardening should sit down and write us your experiences, and we will pay you for it.

THE GRAIN GROWERS' GUIDE. WINNIPEG

March 6, 1912

RFECT BED MEANS MORE 154 201 ack the off the id and le a, by Dunham's Special Canadian Soil Packers Send for catalogue. Read it and then to to your local dealer and insist on see-ng the Dunham Soil Packer. Dunham's ave STEEL FRAMES, ther makes have wood rames. The 2 poles may be thrown together, a very lesirable feature w h e n itching packer to the maine. ht American Second Macrime Ca WITHTHE MARK **Here** rats of privilege will gnash their teeth

in vain. 'New occasions teach new duties,

Time makes ancient good uncouth. They must upward still, and onward, Who would keep abreast of Truth.'' Yours for Direct Legislation, F. J. DIXON.

ste Al sti the pasit cen jun cen

a pro l is

wh the res

far of far Se he pri the pri the

pri

M

of soc thi to \$3 wh to No

wo ma

age ing dre

do

lai thi an

les far

ov

to

to

ho for

ept

see far

50.

COL

ma hu the

Neepawa, Man.

BETTER AGRICULTURAL CREDIT Editor, Guide:--I was glad to see your article on the above in a recent issue of The Guide, and hope every branch of the Grain Growers in Manitoba and Saskatchewan, also Farmers' associa-tions of Alberta and the East, will take this matter up at their meetings and make it a live question, until the Do-minion and Provincial Governments take off the unjust burden of excessive interest by establishing agricultural credit banks (same as in West Austra-lia, New Zealand, etc.,) and lending money through them to farmers at not money through them to farmers at not more than 5 per cent., or as low as 4 per cent., if the government can get money in England at 5 per cent., as I should think 1 per cent. would pay cost of administering same. If the govern-ment could bring in some scheme of in-surance against loss of crops by hail or. frost I think the farmers would not ob-ject to pay 5 per cent. interest, even if the government got the money at 3 per cent. Now, the people whatever quarter they came from, came out to farm and stay on their land, but it takes money to make all the improvements money to make all the improvements necessary, such as a fairly comfortable house, proper sanitary stables, especially for mileh beasts (you can hardly pick up a paper without seeing advice given by prominent men and doctors as to the necessity of having properly built and clean stables for milch cows), good deep wells (costing from \$150 to \$300), fence wells (costing from \$150 to \$300), fence wire and posts, and sheds for machinery. You can see lots of advice given in the papers about doing some or all of these things, the writers assuming that the farmers save the money and could do it but that they must be indifferent or something worse. I am aware some are in a position to do it, but the majority are not. I am a farmer and know what are not. I am a farmer and know what it is to struggle against adverse conditions. I want to stay on my land, as I am sure the majority of farmers and their families wish to do if they have a fair chance given them, and we do not wish to have our land 10reclosed by the mortgage company and be forced to go and live in towns and so come in competition with and overcrowding the labor market there. A good many of us have devoted too much of our time mainly to grain growing instead of hav ing more cattle, sheep and hogs, and to get back into the latter (especially milch cows where you can send cream to creameries) we need some such re-form as is noted at the head of this letter. In conclusion, I may say that if you were to ask all those who have felt or feel the effects of the present system of loans and high interest write you, you would get to know the feeling in regard to such. B. G. P.S.-I have been told that before

the Provincial Governments can do any thing in the way of establishing Agri-cultural Credit banks that the Dominion Bank Act will have to be revised or altered. This is a matter the conven-tions could take up at their annual meetings. B. G.

winter in this country and that stock of all kinds need shelter. He knows that if he has stock he must have stables. He knows that the first thing about putting up a stable is the founda-tion. He knows that timber foundations soon rot, and he knows that cement is dear and that there is about 65 per cent. duty on that article. He does not cent, duty on that article. He does not know when the duty will be removed. He knows that lumber is dear and that there is a duty on that. He knows that the grain he feeds to his stock should be ground. He knows what a feed grinder costs. He knows what an engrinder costs. He knows what an en-gine to run it costs. He knows what gasoline costs. He knows that if he has poultry and sheep he must have his fences "wolf high and poultry tight." He knows, top, that if he takes a ear-load of stock to Winnipeg that he will be skinned before the stock is. Now, Mr. Editor, I will stop right here, for I feel it coming on again.

The farmer knows that there is a long

W. I. FORD. Benito, Man.

ALASKA WHEAT

Editor, Guide:--In your issue of Feb-ruary 7, 1912, you published a statement by W. C. McKillican, superintendent of our Experimental Farm, Brandon, con-cerning Alaska wheat, from which state-ment I beg to differ on the following grounds. Having grown this variety alongside of Preston for the last three years, the following statement ought to years, the following statement ought to carry weight, as my nearest neighbors will vouch for. Last year-1910-Alas will vouch for. Last year-1910-Alas-ka wheat yielded for me 45 bushels to the acre. This year, on a light crop of breaking, Alaska wheat yielded close on 60 bushels to the acre. It has many good points. It stands the frost far better than other wheat, as it has so much chaff; stools out well and will stand up where other wheat goes down. I wrote to Dr. Saunders, Ottawa, about this wheat, and he said that from the large heads it ought to yield more than ordinary wheat. I also wrote Henry Adams, Idaho, in 1910, for his price of Alaska wheat, and how it was for flour. Henry Adams' reply was that he had 15,000 bushels for sale. His price was \$10 per bushel, and that what he did not sell for seed he sold to the mill; that sell for seed he sold to the mill; that he got 3 cents per bushel more than Blue Stem/ which is the best wheat in that part, and I have no cause to doubt his vord.

Mr. McKillican might not have Henry Adams' strain of Alaska wheat when he said it was a poor yielder. I will lodge one hundred dollars (\$100) in any