indictment to be amended accordingly, a verdict of guilty could be rendered by the Jury upon the said indictment generally without specifying the offence.

7° Upon the question, whether the said verdict of guilty could be rendered without any legal proof of the filiation of the said child. No certificate of baptism having been fyled, in this cause, to prove that the said child is the daughter of the said Thomas Lapierre as required by Article 228 of the Civil Code of this Province.

8° Upon the question, whether the grievous bodily harm alleged in the said indictment having been caused under the evidence, through neglect on the part of the accused parties, to the said child, a verdict of guilty of the offence contemplated under Article 232 of said Code could be rendered against them.

9° Upon the question whether evidence — adduced in support of the offence as laid in the said indictment under Articles 210 and 215 of the said Code could be received in support of the charge contemplated by and as laid in the indictment, under the said article 232 of the said Code.

10° Upon the question whether this Honorable Court could instruct the Jury to bring a verdict of guilty against the accused parties upon the indictment as laid under Article 232 of the said Criminal Code.

11° Upon the question whether the accused parties can be sentenced under the said verdict and upon the said conviction to imprisonment for a period not exceeding ten years, or to imprisonment exceeding a period of three years.

And further that this Honorable Court now here do postpone judgment upon the conviction herein until the question shall have been decided.

Sherbrooke, 22nd October, 1897.

[Signed]   L. C. BÉLANGER,
Of Counsel for the said
Thomas Lapierre and Rebecca Roy.