

College and whether he knew anybody there. He replied,
UNIVERSITY SENATE COMMITTEE HEARING

in effect, "I met Dean Laird some years ago, and I ad-
ON THE

ressed a meeting in Ottawa, and his address left a
QUEBEC PROTESTANT EDUCATION SURVEY REPORT
good impression". This would appear that, at the out-

set, Dr. Putman was pre-disposed, if in any direction
AFTERNOON SESSION, JANUARY 18th, 1939
at 3:30 p.m.

I had ~~nothing to do~~ with the Intelligence
Test part of the survey beyond acting as intermediary
PRESENT:

between Dr. Putman and Dean Hendel regarding the ex-
The Principal
ternal routine arrangements, etc.
Col. Bovey

I recall distinct that I conveyed a request
Dean Brittain
from Dr. Putman to have all the first year students
Dean Lemesurier
tested. Dr. Putman is therefore not responsible,
Dr. Woodhead
nor is anyone else to blame for the fact that the
Dean Hendel
University group may not have been completely repres-
Dean O'Neill
entative of first year students. (See page 138 of the
Survey Report).

PROFESSOR JOHN HUGHES INTERVIEWED

among those that I see in Scotland.

Evidence submitted to the McGill Committee
THE PRINCIPAL: Will you tell us what you have to say,
on Teacher Training some four years ago throws much
Prof. Hughes?

light on problems now under discussion. It is there-

PROF. HUGHES: I should like to place on record that,
when I accompanied Dr. J. H. Putman on his first vis-
it to MacDonald College, which visit was a courtesy
call on Dean Brittain, a conversation took place that
comes back to my mind, which seems to throw light on
Dr. Putman's attitude.

T.E. Fitzpatrick
Official Reporter.

I asked him whether he had been to MacDonald