

The Hon. the Speaker *pro tempore*: Honourable senators, is it agreed that the bill be read the third time now?

Senator Olson: On division.

Hon. Hazen Argue: Honourable senators, if "On division" was heard from somebody, that's fine. As far as I am concerned, the bill before us is a good bill and should receive unanimous support from the whole house. The whole question of what is in the future is something else. I think that that was very properly alluded to, but nevertheless I hope that this bill will pass without being passed on division.

Senator Olson: It has to be noted that they do not answer the questions.

The Hon. the Speaker *pro tempore*: Is it agreed that the bill be read the third time—not on division?

Senator Argue: Unanimously.

The Hon. the Speaker *pro tempore*: Unanimously. Is it agreed, honourable senators?

Hon. Senators: Agreed.

Motion agreed to and bill read third time and passed.

ROYAL ASSENT

NOTICE

The Hon. the Speaker *pro tempore* informed the Senate that the following communication had been received:

RIDEAU HALL
OTTAWA
GOVERNMENT HOUSE

20 June 1985

Sir,

I have the honour to inform you that the Honourable Antonio Lamer, Puisne Judge of the Supreme Court of Canada, in his capacity as Deputy Governor General, will proceed to the Senate Chamber to-day, the 20th day of June, 1985, at 5.45 p.m., for the purpose of giving Royal Assent to certain bills.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your obedient servant,
Esmond Butler

Secretary to the Governor General

The Honourable

The Speaker of the Senate

Ottawa

PRIVILEGE

Hon. Royce Frith (Deputy Leader of the Opposition): Honourable senators, I rise on a question of privilege.

[Senator Steuart.]

Yesterday, during a heated, but I must say, for my part, enjoyable exchange with Senator Flynn, towards the end of our fun we got ourselves tangled up in the words "coward" and "cowardly." At page 1066 I see—

Senator Doody: 1066 was a good year.

Senator Frith: It is "1066 And All That."

Senator Flynn said:

That is cowardly!

Then, after an interruption by Senator Roblin—I suppose, strictly speaking, we were interrupting him; it was the other way round—I said:

Whom are you calling a coward?

The report goes on:

SENATOR FLYNN: I said that what you said was cowardly.

SENATOR FRITH: Is it parliamentary to call a senator cowardly?

SENATOR FLYNN: I did not call you a coward; I said that what you said was cowardly.

SENATOR FRITH: That is a very cowardly answer.

SENATOR FLYNN: I don't mind.

SENATOR FRITH: You do not have the guts to stick to it.

I do not think it is all that serious, and neither does Senator Flynn. If anyone has any doubts, let me say that I have had my disagreements with Senator Flynn, but he is not cowardly and he has plenty of guts.

Senator Doody: History will be delighted with that.

Hon. Jacques Flynn: I share the same view of Senator Frith.

Senator Doody: At least there is some civility.

Senator MacEachen: Civility has been carried much too far!

Senator Perrault: It's almost a love-in.

SPORTS POOL AND LOTO CANADA WINDING-UP BILL

SECOND READING

On the Order:

Resuming the debate on the motion of the Honourable Senator Phillips, seconded by the Honourable Senator Doody, for the second reading of the Bill C-2, intituled: "An Act respecting the winding-up of the Canadian Sports Pool Corporation and Loto Canada Inc."—(*Honourable Senator Perrault, P.C.*).

Hon. Raymond J. Perrault: Honourable senators, I do not intend to spend much of the chamber's time discussing Bill C-2. The fact is that the Calgary Winter Olympics requires money. We have a commitment, which I am pleased to say I made when I was the minister responsible for fitness and amateur sport. It is gratifying, and I think worth pointing out, that the new government has fully accepted that commitment