

*Government Orders*

• (1835)

**Mr. Waddell:** You're tough, considering we own the oil—

**Mr. Epp:** My friend, if you were so smart, why did you not develop it on your own money?

**Mr. Waddell:** You are developing with my money, \$2.7 billion.

**Mr. Epp:** And you know all about the LRS too. Secondly—

**Mr. Waddell:** I know a sell-out when I see it.

**Mr. Epp:** Mr. Speaker, the member is exercising his mouth. Is his brain attached to it?

Second, Mr. Speaker, we got for Newfoundland 2.1 million hours against the 2 million hours and for the rest of Canada from zero we got 2 million hours. That gives you the content that I refer to, but I do not hear the hon. member when he talks about North Sea, I do not hear him today for example complaining that out of the North Sea we draw 350,000 barrels of oil for imports into Canada every day. I do not hear him complaining about that.

**Mr. Waddell:** We do not refine it.

**Mr. Epp:** Yes, we do. I am saying to the hon. member that he cannot have it both ways. In terms of Canadian content, I think the figures stand up for themselves.

I want to look particularly now at Quebec. One of the arguments was, how can you guarantee it because for Newfoundland obviously we are going to do the GBS and if you are going to do the work Great Mosquito Cove, near Bull Arm—I am starting to learn those Newfoundland words—and if you are going to do at Come-By-Chance and Marystown, obviously there is going to be a lot of work in Newfoundland. Why? Because it is being done in Newfoundland. That is where the project is. I think that even makes some sense. That is why it is being done there.

On September 14 when we announced the final contractual agreement, literally hours later, the consortium announced two major contracts. One was for the GBS, the gravity based structure, and the work relating to the gravity based structure. That contract came in at

\$1.3 billion Canadian. Of the five members of the consortium that were the winning bid, there are three that each had 29 2/3 per cent of the \$1.3 billion in terms of ownership. In large contracts, members will know that consortiums are formed in order to spread risk.

Two of the three major companies are in Quebec and so Quebec companies got 59 1/3 per cent of \$1.3 billion on the first contract. Most calculations I think will put that well over \$700 million. The second contract which was for topside design and project management, high-tech jobs, we had estimated that contract to be worth something like \$230 million. It came in at \$360 million. It is headed by S and C M Anenko. Eighty-five per cent of the work in Quebec, I am glad that is the case. I am glad it is a Canadian company. I say to my hon. friends, they argue fairness. Be fair.

• (1840)

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Dorin):** Perhaps I could indicate to the House that there have been two members seeking the attention of the Speaker, the hon. member for Longueuil and the hon. member for Saint—Léonard. We have time to hear from both members before we adjourn today, if that is acceptable. They will divide the time equally, 10 minutes.

[Translation]

**Mr. Gilles Rocheleau (Hull—Aylmer):** Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. What you are saying to the House is that my colleague the hon. member for Longueuil has been on his feet three times already. As much as 66 percent of our caucus is here, while in the rest of the House, I do not see many members of the other parties.

I feel the Chair should treat everybody the same way. Mr. Speaker, I suggest that you should give the floor to the hon. member for Longueuil. The Liberal member could speak after him.

[English]

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Dorin):** As I indicated, if we do not debate this too long there will be enough time for both people to make their remarks, if we move on to it right now.

The hon. member for Saint—Léonard.