

Petitions

That, when the House completes, later today, proceedings on the motion for second reading of Bill C-15, an Act respecting plant breeders' rights, it shall stand adjourned until Monday, September 25, 1989, provided that

(I) If at any time a Bill or Bills be ready for the granting of Royal Assent, the Speaker may, after consultation with the Government, fix a time at which the House shall meet for that sole purpose, after which the House shall once again stand adjourned in accordance with this Order, and

(II) Further to the Order of the House made April 4, 1989 (respecting supply): the number of allotted days in the period following Labour Day be eleven, with one of them in September, five in October, three in November and two in December; the date in Section "C)" of the said Order be changed to Wednesday, October 11, the date in Section "D)a)" of the said Order be changed to October 11, the date in Section "D)b)" of the said Order be changed to October 18, and the date in Section "E)" of the said Order be changed to Thursday, October 19.

Mr. Speaker: Does the Hon. Minister of Justice have unanimous consent of the House to move the motion?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: The House has heard the terms of the motion. Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

Motion agreed to.

* * *

• (1540)

SCRUTINY OF REGULATIONS

CONCURRENCE IN FIRST REPORT OF STANDING JOINT COMMITTEE

Mr. Tom Wappel (Scarborough West): Mr. Speaker, I would like to move a motion which I think will receive the unanimous consent of the House.

[Translation]

Mr. Speaker, I move, seconded by the Hon. Member for Nipissing (Mr. Wood):

That the First Report of the Standing Joint Committee for the Scrutiny of Regulations, presented to the House on Wednesday, June 14, 1989, be concurred in.

Mr. Speaker: Does the Hon. Member have the unanimous consent of the House to move this motion?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

[English]

Mr. Nelson A. Riis (Kamloops): Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. I am not necessarily against this motion. I must say that I am unaware of it. I do not know what it includes. I would like to suggest that we stand it down for a few moments in order to have some consultations.

Mr. Speaker: Perhaps Hon. Members could have a discussion. I will certainly hear them both in a minute or two.

I wish to bring to the attention of the Hon. Member for Kamloops the fact that the motion the Hon. Member has just moved is on the Order Paper and does not need consent. However, there is a second motion on which he will need consent. Perhaps we could put that one aside for a few minutes.

Coming back to the original motion, I take it that the House will accept it. The second motion, which has not yet been called, needs consent. Perhaps the two Hon. Members could discuss it and indicate to the Chair when they are prepared to have it called.

Just to keep the record straight, there is agreement on the first motion.

* * *

PETITIONS

PROPOSED GOLD MINE IN SHOAL LAKE, ONTARIO

Mr. Bill Blaikie (Winnipeg Transcona): Mr. Speaker, with regard to petitions, I would like to congratulate the students of John De Graff School in my riding for gathering together signatures on a petition against the proposed gold mine in Shoal Lake, Ontario, a mine which is to be constructed right in the midst of Winnipeg's drinking water supply.

In that respect I would like to present a petition which represents the concerns of the students and which calls upon Parliament to stop the application by Consolidated Professor Mines until a full federal environmental impact assessment shows the plant to be safe, and to introduce a safe drinking water Act that would give secure access of Canadians to drinking water a greater priority than industrial development.

Mr. Speaker: Before we continue with petitions, I would like to advise the House that there will be a reception for Members in Room 16 starting this evening at 9 p.m.