G. crescentifasciella, Cham., and G. grissefasciella are different forms of the same species, although he does not question that the former may be equal to Walker's G. conclusella. Mr. Chambers further says that he "was never able to reconcile his G. rubensella with Clemens' G. rubia-clla," from which Lord Walsingham remarks that he is unable to separate it. These two (?) species belong to a group in which there is considerable variation in coloring, and in which the specific distinctions are evidently slight in the imagines, though sufficiently well marked in the larvæ, as I judge from the three or four forms that I have succeeded in rearing.

As to Helice gleditschiæella, Cham. (= to pallidochrella, Cham., according to Lord Walsingham), Mr. Chambers says: "The defect in the description of the hind wings, to which Lord Walsingham calls attention, may exist and may have been caused (as I have known similar mistakes in other cases) by a slight fold or wrinkle under the tip. I have an indistinct recollection that I observed something of this in this species. placed this species in Gelechia in the 'Index' for the reason stated on page 124 of that publication, and it may be that I never gave any other description of it as a Gelechia. × Ÿ The reference in the 'Index' noted by Lord Walsingham, and occurring in various places, to Can. Ent., vol. x., p. —, was intended to apply to a paper which I thought I had sent to the Can. Ent. for publication in that volume. But I suppose it was never sent, or it was lost in the mail.

"From my bred and captured specimens of Gracilaria superbifrontella, Clem., oak-feeding, and G. Packardella, Cham., maple-feeding" (according to Lord Walsingham, identical, and equal to G. swederella, Thnb., whose name has precedence), "I think the species are distinct (though I have had doubts about it), and that both are distinct from swederella as described and figured in Nat. Hist. Tin."

In regard to Coleophora leucochrysella, Clem.—to which species Lord Walsingham relegates Mr. Chambers' C. argentella and C. argentialbella—Mr. Chambers says: "In a flying trip through Philadelphia, a year or two ago, I glanced at a part of the Clemens' collection, and the one thing that I recollect (for I made no notes) is that C. leucochrysella, Clem., is the proper name for C. albella, Cham. C. argentialbella is a different insect and smaller."

These quotations embody the most important of Mr. Chambers' differences from Lord Walsingham's opinions, and I have taken the liberty of transcribing them because I think they will be of interest to others beside