



editorial

Quantity and Quality

As a subject dear to the hearts of students, and all Gateway staff members, I, on their behalf, present the 1975-76 Preliminary Budget for the operation of the Portrait and Gateway newspapers for general inspection.

This budget was accepted and approved by Administration Board on Sunday, March 16. It will not be presented as part of the Students' Union Preliminary Budget, which requires Council ratification to become operative. This Council action will take place before the end of this academic year.

Editor-elect Greg Neiman feels this budget makes adequate provision for both the quantitative and qualitative aspirations of *The Gateway* and Administration Board. The costs of inflation, the re-structuring of Media Productions, the incorporation of *Portrait's* budget with *Gateway's*, and the collective desires of Administration Board and *the Gateway* staff to increase the amounts and quality of local issues justify the deficit projection.

It should be noted that the \$15,940 deficit represents a maximum amount anticipated. This is due to the tradition of being conservative with revenue projections, and liberal with cost projections.

Bernie Fritze

Revenues	
R2 Merchandise Sales	500.00
R4 Advertising	63,000.00
R7 Grants	
Spring SSA	1,000.00
Summer SSA	1,000.00
U of A (Portrait)	1,500.00
U of A (Gateway)	4,000.00
Total Revenue	\$71,000.00

Expenditures	
E2 Editor-in-chief	4200.00
News editor	3200.00
News editor	1600.00
Sports editor	1600.00
Arts editor	1600.00
Photo Editor	2000.00
Circulation Manager	1730.00
Totals	16,030.00

E3 Maintenance (camera overhaul)	500.00
E4 Supplies (photo & general)	260.00
E5 Office expense	500.00
E6 Printing & Advertising, (based on 54 issues of Gateway, 13 issues of Portrait)	37,860.00
E7 Services-Media Production	23,450.00
E8 Food	250.00
E10 Travel	2,000.00
E11 Communications (includes phones, taxi and postage)	1,750.00
E13 Memberships (CUP, ENS, etc.)	1,200.00
E14 Program Expense	100.00
E16 Distribution (truck rental)	700.00

TOTAL EXPENDITURE \$86,940.00
TOTAL REVENUE \$71,000.00
DEFICIT \$15,940

more LETTERS

there and take it all in, as the smoke in the room slowly kills them.

Imagine sitting in a restaurant while the ashtray on the table keeps piling higher and higher with butts. Have you ever considered putting the garbage pail on the table? Well that's what's happening when you put an ashtray on the table and it's filled up in no time with garbage from smokers' mouths. Smokers and some nonsmokers who don't know better, have you ever had the great taste of a cigarette in your mouth after kissing a girl who has just smoked a cigarette? It is just something better than kissing an ashtray. The difference is in the taste.

Slobs who insist on spreading their filthy smoking habit do not think anybody minds because nobody says anything. Some people are just too stupid to speak up. Smoking has been proven study after study to be harmful to smokers and nonsmokers, and it seems that some nonsmokers have already been affected.

Manfred Lukat

Candidate

I chose to run for office because I felt the fashion in which it (gov't) is being run is inadequate, for example, despite the fact we have solved the HUB crisis there is the question of why it was allowed to arise. While I believe in the use of a Students' Union as a UNION of students there must be a rational coherent pattern to running it. Simply put I feel there's got to be a better way.

Both my opponents have had their chance to show what they can do (I was in fact defeated by one before) and achieved little. I feel the time for change is Now.

If elected I will guarantee only one thing, but I will stake my future on it. That I will attempt to imbue some of my knowledge as a political science student to putting the Students' Union to work to make the university a useful place to go to - one at which the meaning is

learning and learning has meaning.

I am willing to stake my future on this. I can only ask my fellow students to do the same.

Kevan Warner

Scared silly

Much has been written or said in the media recently about the need for those of us in the advanced countries to make real sacrifices - to change our way of life - to stop enjoying affluence - to eat less and use less - to help those in the Third World.

I find this sort of talk to be somewhat silly. Real changes in our way of life are one thing that most people won't accept. Instead of scaring people away with such statements, the appeal should be not for a willingness to accept major sacrifices, but for big increases now in foreign aid: because, the sad fact is that there is plenty of room for improvement in aid to Third World nations before anyone will even notice the

difference over here. That is what should be done immediately: our governments should be told to waste notime in doing it. On the other hand, to gamble on our willingness to give up our prosperity is about as profitable as betting that the world will end tomorrow.

Furthermore, an end to the great affluence of the advanced nations is not only not to be desired, but it would be disastrous. Only affluent and highly prosperous nations can provide the technological leadership that will eventually put a permanent end to world poverty, and create a world where levels of affluence even greater than those we currently enjoy can be the birthright of all the world's people without exception. It seems today to be the currently accepted fashion to say affluence is not necessarily a good thing: that the ideal world would have sufficiency for all, but everyone would be conscious of limits on their prosperity. Those who say this do not believe it possible to achieve universal affluence. They are wrong: the only limit on prosperity is scientific

continued on p.6

Berry wes Gateway

* To date, the Law faculty have been the only people to throw out the square dancers promoting Bar None. Seems the Dean acted as chief prosecutor, judge, and jury, and he un-animously agreed with himself that the music and dancers constituted contempt of his court, and so he acted as bailiff and removed them. Just another case of law in action. How does that rap your gavel?

Bar None PR man Myron Ganser, in an attempt to help patrons in not getting their liquor confiscated at the dance, has asked anyone who plans to drink and dance to rebottle their booze in plastic containers, wineskins, etc. as long as it's not glass. Cops have traditionally turned a blind eye to drinking at Bar None but due to the amount of broken glass at last year's

function, they've changed their tune this year and plan to get ugly if the situation happens again.

Also, due to the parking problem, a free bus service from the Jubilee parking lot will solve your parking problems. Cars are not being allowed to congest the area this year. And finally, only 7,350 persons will be admitted to the function, so get your ticket in advance. Last count showed about 9,000 tried to attend last year, so if you'd rather be on the inside than the outside, buy your ticket in advance.

* Kentucky Fried Chicken is coming out with a special for next Wednesday, in honour of the provincial election. A special NDP bucket of chicken containing only left wings and

assholes will be served. Bon appetit.

* It doesn't take things long to get out of hand, and in the case of the "Why Not" buttons, all existing records were broken. By virtue of a hackneyed cliché, worn on lapels in deference to all taste and discretion, a verbal and even physical assault by newly pinned and therefore liberated women have worn thin their welcome in virtually every nook of this campus. The button and slogan is pretentious, as is the negative and defensive attitude displayed by most button supporters. Perhaps a "Why?" or a "Who Cares?" button will follow but meanwhile, the current series serves as a license to be obnoxious, ignorant, and above all, liberated.



Gateway

Volume LXV, Number 48
March 20, 1975

Published bi-weekly by the University of Alberta Students' Union, in the Gateway offices, Room 282, Students' Union Building.

Editor-in-chief: Bernie Fritze

SENIOR EDITORS

News Editor: Greg Neiman
Arts Editor: Harold Kuckertz
Sports Editor: Cameron Cole
Photography Editor: Brent Hallett
CUP Editor: Cathy Zlatnik

STAFF

Deena Mitchell
F.N. Crory
Kim St. Clair
Mary MacDonald
Bob Blair
Nancy Brown
Silly Willy
Scoot Peartree
Judy Hamaliuk
Rick Fritze
Ray Popkaitis
Cliff Lacey
Dewey Needem

CIRCULATION

Circulation 18,000. The Gateway publishes on Tuesday and Thursday during the Fall and Winter Session. It is distributed to the students and to the academic and non-academic staff on campus.
Subscription rates: 54 issues, \$7.00
Circulation Manager: Jim Hagerty

PRODUCTION

Ad make-up, layout, and typesetting done by Student Media, University of Alberta, Room 238, Students' Union Building.
Production Mgr: Loreen Lennon
Typesetter: Margriet Tilroe-West

ADVERTISING

No mats accepted. National and local advertising \$.28 per agate line.
Classified ad rate \$1.00 per issue. All classified ads must be prepaid.
Advertising Manager: Tom Wright
432-4241

FOOTNOTES

Publicizes campus events or those of interest to students, without charge. Footnotes forms available at the Gateway office and should be submitted before 2 p.m. Mondays and Wednesdays.
Footnotes Editor: Cathy Zlatnik

LETTERS

Submit all letters, typed and double spaced to the Editor, who reserves the right to edit the copy. Regular copy deadlines apply. Editorial comments are the opinion of the writer, not necessarily that of The Gateway.

GRAPHICS

Submit all graphics and cartoons, by copy deadlines to:
Graphics Editor: Gary Kirk

COPY DEADLINES

Monday noon for the Tuesday edition. Wednesday noon for the Thursday edition.

TELEPHONES

Editor's office
432-5178
All departments
432-5168
432-5750
Student Media
432-3423

The Gateway is a member of the Intercollegiate Press and The Earth News Service.