

“order to induce him to refrain from voting at the said Election, and 4th. By threatening another voter with the loss of his employment in order to induce him to refrain from voting at the said Election.”

“I hereby declare and adjudge that the said Respondent *Achille LaRue* was not duly elected and returned at the said Election; and that the said Election is void; and I further adjudge and order that the Respondent do pay to the Petitioner his costs in this cause.”

And the said Appellant appealing from the said judgment to this Court and the said Appeal coming on to be heard before the Court on this fourth and fifth days of November, A.D., 1880, in presence of Council as well for the said Appellant as the said Respondent, whereupon and upon hearing what was alleged by Council aforesaid this Court was pleased to direct that the said Appeal should stand over for judgment, and the same coming on this day for judgment this Court did order and adjudge that the said Appeal should be and the same was dismissed, and that the said judgment should be and the same was affirmed.

And this Court did further order, adjudge and determine:—

1. That the said *Achille LaRue* was not duly elected and returned to serve as a member in the House of Commons for Canada, for the Electoral District of the County of Bellechasse at the Election held on the tenth and seventeenth days of September, 1878.

2. That the said Election was and is a void Election.

3. That the said Appellant should pay to the said Respondent as well the costs incurred in connection with the Appeal to this Court as the costs incurred by the said Respondent in connection with the said cause in the Court below.

4. That the Record in the said cause should be transmitted to the proper officer of the Court below.

And this Court did further decide to report and do hereby report to the Honorable The Speaker of the House of Commons as follows: (The Honorable Mr. Justice *Fournier* and the Honorable Mr. Justice *Henry*, dissenting on the ground that this Court have no jurisdiction to entertain the present appeal):—

1. That corrupt practices have been proved to have been committed by the said *Achille LaRue* at such Election, and the nature of such corrupt practices was the bribery by the said *Achille LaRue*, of one *David Asselin*, of Saint Lazare, in the District of Montmagny, Farmer, a voter at said Election, by giving to the said *David Asselin*, money in order to induce him to endeavor to procure the return of the said *Achille LaRue*.

2. That the following persons have been proved at the trial to have been guilty of corrupt practices, namely:—

The said *Achille Larue*.

The said *David Asselin*.

*Eusèbe Couture*, of Buckland, in the said District of Montmagny, Farmer.

*Nicholas Pouliot*, of St. Magloire, in the said District of Montmagny, Farmer, and *Anselme Plante*, of Saint Cajetan d'Armagh, in the said District of Montmagny, Miller.

3. That corrupt practices have not, nor is there reason to believe that corrupt practises have extensively prevailed at the said Election.

Certified,

ROBERT CASSELS, Jr.,

Registrar, S.C.C.

The Honorable JOSEPH G. BLANCHET,

Speaker of the House of Commons of Canada,

Ottawa.

And the said Judgments were ordered to be entered in the Journals of this House.