Order Paper Questions

in the City of Moncton or the Greater Moncton area (b) on what date will work commence (c) what is the scheduled completion date?

- 2. (a) On what date will the announcement be made with respect to the erection or acquisition of a new post office building with adequate facilities in the Town of Riverview (b) on what date will work commence (c) what is the scheduled completion date?
- Mr. Raynald Guay (Parliamentary Secretary to Postmaster General): 1. (a) The date is not yet known. (b) Canada Post Office is now studying postal operational and facility requirements for the Moncton area. No date for the commencement of this project can be given until these studies are complete. However, the Estimates Book for 1975-76 shows that it is anticipated that an initial \$200,000 should be spent on a Moncton facility in 1975. (c) A completion date cannot be scheduled until a commencement date for the project has been established.
- 2. (a) With respect to the requirements for postal facilities in Riverview, this area is presently serviced by three sub post offices and ten letter carriers. There is no immediate intention to build new postal facilities. However, the need for new facilities is constantly under view as towns increase in population. (b) Not applicable. (c) Not applicable.

LIP-PROJECT No. 311936

Question No. 1,182-Mr. Cossitt:

- 1. (a) What were all the details of Local Initiatives Programme Project No. 311936 (b) what were the names and addresses of all those appearing on the application and of all those who endorsed or recommended this project in any way?
- 2. (a) Was this project located in the constituency of London West (b) was it approved in the amount of \$117,000 on January 27, 1972?
- 3. (a) Does the name of Mr. Lloyd Francis Stevens appear in the Department file for this project as having endorsed its approval (b) was the Department or the Minister aware as to whether or not this is the same Lloyd Francis Stevens mentioned in Who's Who as a supporter of the Liberal Party?
- 4. (a) Was the construction firm on this project Ellis Don Construction Limited (b) was the Department or the Minister aware as to whether or not this was the same Ellis Don Construction Limited mentioned in the *London Free Press* on or about July, 1973 as publicly stating that it had donated more than \$10,000 in five years to the Liberal Party?
- 5. (a) In what ways did this project not meet the criteria of the Local Initiatives Programme (b) what were the names and job designations of all LIP personnel who pointed this out (c) what was the identity and job designation of the individual making the final decision to accept this project?
- 6. What was the name of the designated Regional Cabinet Minister under the Local Initiatives Programme under whose jurisdiction approval or disapproval of this project fell?

Hon. Robert K. Andras (Minister of Manpower and Immigration): 1. (a) Madame Vanier Children's Services Project No. 311936—1971-72 LIP. Project Description: To construct a four-building complex on three acres. To include a clinic building, a specialized school, an activities building and a 3-unit townhouse. To purchase two halfway houses and to renovate them for the community. To create 64 new jobs—45 permanent positions once the organization was fully operative. (b) Names of Sponsors: Mr. A. R. Martin, Chairman, 217 Deer Park Circle, London, Ontario; Dr. John R. Dubois, Executive Director, 534 Queens Avenue, London, Ontario. The Department of Manpower and Immigration regards as confidential the names and

- content of all representations made to the minister or the department.
- 2. (a) No (London East). (b) Project 311936 was approved in the amount of \$123,201 on February 3, 1972.
 - 3. (a) No. (b) No.
 - 4. (a) Yes. (b) No.
- 5. (a) This project did meet the basic criteria of the Local Initiatives Program. (b) Not applicable. (c) The final approval of all projects rested with the then minister of manpower and immigration, the Hon. Bryce Mackasey.
 - 6. Not applicable.

DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AND CORPORATE AFFAIRS—ADVERTISING

Question No. 1,337—Mr. Huntington:

- 1. What was the total cost of advertising in the Department of Consumer and Corporate Affairs for the years 1972-73 and 1973-74?
- 2. What was the breakdown of the programmes against the total cost of advertising for these years?
- Hon. André Ouellet (Minister of Consumer and Corporate Affairs): 1. \$107,759.83, 1972-73; \$138,430.27, 1973-74.
- 2. There is no meaningful breakdown by program because the advertising was department-wide. The emphasis, however, was on consumer affairs.

INVESTIGATION—CLAIMS RELATING TO THEFTS AND LOSSES—CNR AND AIR CANADA

Question No. 1,350-Mr. Clark (Rocky Mountain):

- 1. In the last fiscal year, what was the (a) total number of thefts reported (b) total value of goods reported stolen from (i) CNR freight (ii) Air Canada freight?
- 2. How many investigators are maintained by (a) Air Canada (b) CNR to investigate thefts or losses from freight entrusted to those carriers and where is each investigator located?
- 3. In the last fiscal year, how many reported thefts or losses from (a) Air Canada (b) CNR were not solved as of January 31, 1975?
- Hon. Jean Marchand (Minister of Transport): The Management of Canadian National Railways advises as follows: 1. (a) (i) 612. (b) (i) \$646,400.
- 2. (a) Not applicable. (b) There are 48 claims personnel, whose duties involve the investigation of losses including thefts, located as follows: Moncton, 6; Montreal, 13; Toronto, 15; Winnipeg, 4; Saskatoon, 3; Edmonton, 4; Vancouver, 3.
- 3. (a) Not applicable. (b) 402. The above figures are on calendar year basis (1974) and include both freight and express. Further breakdown is not available from our records.

The management of Air Canada advises as follows: 1. To classify a missing shipment as a theft or as stolen, satisfactory proof must first be established. Frequently, the securing of proof is not possible or evidence is not obtained until long after a claim is concluded. Thus Air Canada has a claim classification under the heading "Non Delivery", which includes: (i) A loss. (ii) Failure of a piece or pieces of a shipment to reach destination for