

*Broadcasting Act*

[Translation]

**Mr. Fortin:** Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Laniel):** The hon. member for Lotbinière on a point of order.

**Mr. Fortin:** I am amazed at the view expressed by my hon. colleague from Peace River (Mr. Baldwin), because the other day he "killed" the private members' hour trying to bring about a surprise vote on a Friday, and today he opposes any further consideration of the question of old age security. Now I understand why, yesterday, he moved this stupid motion of his on Polymer—

[English]

**PROCEEDINGS ON ADJOURNMENT MOTION**

## SUBJECT MATTER OF QUESTIONS TO BE DEBATED

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Laniel):** It is my duty, pursuant to Standing Order 40, to inform the House that the questions to be raised tonight at the time of adjournment are as follows: the hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre (Mr. Knowles)—Canadian National Railways—Discussions on amendment of pension plan to provide payments on basis of 2 per cent per year of service; the hon. member for Vancouver South (Mr. Fraser)—External Affairs—Representations to United States against proposed flooding of Skagit River Valley in Canada for power project; the hon. member for Edmonton-Strathcona (Mr. Roche)—Government Administration—Increase in departments and buildings in Ottawa—Future policy.

**Mr. Reid:** Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. There have been discussions through the usual channels. I think Your Honour might find there will be agreement to take No. 7 on the Order Paper, a bill standing in the name of the hon. member for St. John's East (Mr. McGrath).

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Laniel):** Is there unanimous consent to the suggestion made by the parliamentary secretary?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**PRIVATE MEMBERS' PUBLIC BILLS****BROADCASTING ACT**

## AMENDMENT CONCERNING ADVERTISING ON CHILDREN'S PROGRAMS

**Mr. James McGrath (St. John's East)** moved that Bill C-22, to amend the Broadcasting Act (advertising on children's programs), be read the second time and referred to the Standing Committee on Broadcasting, Films and Assistance to the Arts.

[Mr. Baldwin.]

He said: Mr. Speaker, in view of the interest by hon. members in this bill, perhaps hon. members might be prepared to give consideration to placing a 10 minute time limit on speeches in order to permit maximum participation. I hope this will permit the bill to be sufficiently dealt with that it will be referred to the Standing Committee on Broadcasting, Films and Assistance to the Arts.

**Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre):** Mr. Speaker, we would be happy to agree to a 10 minute time limit during this hour.

[Translation]

**Mr. Fortin:** I rise on a point of order, Mr. Speaker.

It might be advisable to agree on five minutes each in order to return immediately to Bill C-147, in view of its importance.

**An hon. Member:** Agreed.

[English]

**Mr. Basford:** Mr. Speaker, I am not aware that there have been any discussions on this point through the usual channels. I hope that the hon. member will defer his suggestion until that can occur and the member on our side who is responsible for our contribution to this private members' hour can confer with his members to ensure they are agreeable. Perhaps the hon. member could proceed with his speech and there could be some agreement.

**Mr. Reid:** Mr. Speaker, there have been some consultations, although not with all parties in the House. However, if there would be agreement, we certainly would agree to that proposition.

**Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre):** Agree to what?

**Mr. Reid:** Ten minutes.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Laniel):** Is the Chair to understand that it is 10 minutes for the whole debate or 10 minutes per speech?

**Mr. Lefebvre:** Per speech.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Laniel):** Is it agreed that a limit of 10 minutes be imposed on hon. members' contributions to the debate?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Mr. McGrath:** Mr. Speaker, that agreement effectively cuts out half my speech. I am pleased there is consent because I know there is a great deal of interest in this bill and for very good reasons. I am sure these reasons will manifest themselves as the debate proceeds in the very limited time at our disposal.

This bill which I have the privilege to move, seconded by the hon. member for Thunder Bay (Mr. Penner) and supported by the hon. member for Vancouver-Kingsway (Mrs. MacInnis), was first introduced in the House on April 7, 1971. Hon. members who are interested may want to refer to *Hansard* for that date. Unfortunately, at that time the bill did not receive sufficient support to be