this nation which they cherished so greatly and which we must protect and nourish in our policies. In particular, we must consider the role in world affairs which they would wish us to play. During the var in which they fought, there was won for this nation an enviable reputation as a military power. There now rests with us the opportunity to show the same degree of competence, the same readiness to accept responsibilities, the same sense of purpose in the conduct of our international affairs. From the st त्र केर्का प्रतिकार के (बर्धक्रमा) है तथत रहेंचा .

For this reason I propose to make this lecture an enquiry into the foundations of Canadian policy in world affairs. I think this is in keeping with the purposes of the Gray lectureship. We in Canada, of English and French origin, have embarked on the joint task of building a nation. One aspect of our common enterprise is our external relations. The subject is one of special interest to me because of my present responsibilities in the Government. The founder of this lectureship has said - "If we discover and dwell upon what binds us together we shall accept our differences as what binds us together, we shall accept our differences as the members of a true family accept their differences without losing sight for a moment of the things which hold them together in a vital unity". It is in keeping with this spirit that I propose to discuss the background of our external policy.

A policy of world affairs, to be truly effective, must have its foundations laid upon general principles which have been tested in the life of the nation and which have secured the broad support of large groups of the population. It is true that differences of opinion about foreign policy must continually be reviewed in discussion and debate inside and outside of Parliament. Such discussions, however, can result in constructive conclusions only if they take place against the background of a large measure of agreement on fundamentals.

It may be objected that we are not old enough as a nation to have worked out such agreed principles. But let us not forget that much which forms the basis of our agreement in that respect is the result of circumstances over which we have had little if any control. The century old struggles between France and England, their rivalry in the New World, the Battle of the Plains of Abraham, the Treaty of Paris of 1763, the revolt of the Thirteen Colonies, the wave of mole. liberal thinking unleashed by the French Revolution, the geography, the climate, the kind of natural resources of our country all tended to create conditions for our ancestors and tend to create conditions for our own generating which lead to almost inevitable results. They have forced Frenchspeaking and English-speaking men and women to live side by side as members of the same community. They have inspired them to work together to obtain an ever increasing measure of self-government; they have tempered the resistance of the metropolitan government to this healthy development; they have made natural and easy the creation of an economy productive of large surpluses of certain kinds of commodities and lacking in certain other kinds and thus dependent in an extraordinary degree upon exchange and trade to get some benefit out of the surpluses and to secure the commodities not available from our own production.

We are now within close range of two significant anniversaries in the life of this nation. It is almost

်းခြင်းသည်။ လူရာ အချိန်းမှ ရှိနောက်သည်။ လုပ်သည်။ သည် လုပ်သည်းမှာ သည် မြေသည်။ လုပ်ချိန်းမှာ သည်။ မြေသည်။ မြေသည် လုပ်ချိန်းမှာ ရေးသည် မြေသည်။ မြေသည် မြေသည် သည် သည် သည် သည် မြေသည်။ မြေသည် သည် သည် သည် မြေသည်။ မြေသည် မြေသည် မ မြေသည်။ မေသည် ကို သည် ကို သည် ကြောက်များကို သည် သည် မြေသည်။ မြေသည် မြေသည် မြေသည် မေသည်။ မြေသည် မေသည်။ မြေသည် မ and the second

mod technost mar-