The cost of rebuilding & filling bridges, ${}^{\$22,943.75}_{18}$, is included in the expenses for 1899.

FREIGHT TRAFFIC

FREIGHT TRAFFIC.		
Tons of through freight carried, earning		
Tons of local freight carried, earning	723.325	
"ons of local freight carried, earning	_	
Tons of fu	1,875,537	
Tons of freight carried, earning revenue Mileage of through freight	2,598,862	
Mileage of through freight	98,509,873	
Mileage of local treight	37,860,610	
Average ton haul for through freight.	36,370,483	
Avage ton haul for through freight.	136,190	miles
	20,187	
Average ton haul for local freight	52.473	
had a mount received for cach ton		
Average receipts ton per mile for through	60.020	cents
age receipts ton per mile for through		
Average	00.923	
age receipts ton per mile for local	0	٠.
Average	01.718	
		••
freight	01.144	
~ PASSENGER TRAFFIC		
Through passengers carried, earning		
Local passengers carried, earning	83,403	
Local passengers carried, earning rev-	03,403	
enue	390,278	
D	3901-70	
Passengers carried, earning revenue	473,681	
Average distance carried	24,849,035	
Average distance carried	52.459	miles
Average amount received from each	5	
Average	\$1.42836	**
Screceipts per mile for through	+	
Average	02.584	cents
"SS receipts per mile for local		
Average strangers	02.828	••
Sector fereints per passenger per mile		
for all passengers	02.727	**

all passengers The table of commodities handled shows a total tonnage of 2.598,862 net tons, the following being the principal items :

Qre.	Net tons.	Per cent.
Ores. Logs	1,436,808	55.25
Logs Merchandiae	295,376	11.39
CO1	125,303	4.03
Bitn	07,704	2.61
Grain	60.536	2.33
·••••	42,384	1.63

The cost of the road & equipment to Dec. the cost of the road & equipment of 1899 the construction account was increased \$41, for a construction account was increased \$41, 617.80 and the equipment account \$212,-880.33.

The following were elected :--President, Gen. S. Thomas, New York; 1st Vice-President C. C. C. Montreal; and President, T. G. Shaugnessy, Montreal; and Vice-President & General Manager, W. F. Fitch, Marquette, Mich.; other directors, Sir Wm. Van Horne, Lord Strathcona, R. B. Angus, Montreal; J. W. Sterling, R. Y. Hebden, T. W. Pearsall, J. O. Bloss, G. H. Church, New York.

G.T.R. Indebtedness to Government.

In the Senate, June 28, Mr. Perley enquired if the G.T.R. Co. owes the government of Canada for any sum of money borrowed or otherwise obtained years ago? And if so, what is a sum of they event it what is the amount & when do they expect it to be paid, if ever ?

Mr. Scott said—In the early fifties when the G.T.R. was being constructed, the late province of Canada advanced in aid of the work from time to time moneys to the extent of $\pounds_{3,111,500}$ sterling. In 1858 the Legisla-ture passed an Act postponing the interest on the loss of the interest on the loss of the loss of the loss of the sterline charges due by the the loan to the following charges due by the C_0 , = t. The payment of interest on preference h_{c} is the payment of interest of in ence bonds; 2. After payment of interest on payment of interest upon the loan capital of the Co.; 3. After the payment of a dividend at the rate of 6% per annum on the stock & shares of the Co. - As the payment of interest on the provincial loan is postponed to so remote a contingency it can scarcely be called a debt at the present day; though in the public accounts interest is regularly added to the amount of the debenture account due by the G.T.R., which now totals \$25,607,000. It is impossible to say whether the amount will ever be paid. I may add that I entirely approved of this settlement & voted for it in the session of 1858.

Sir Mackenzie Bowell-Have there not been

many bills passed since the dates mentioned in that statement, giving power to the G.T. R. to issue preferential bonds to take precedence of any claim the government might have? If my recollection serves me, there were several cases of that kind.

Mr. Scott-There was one in particular that I know of, the postal bond. The postal bond was issued in that way, but the account as it stands in the Public Accounts is as I have stated.

Mr. Perley-Is the account outlawed?

Mr. Scott-It is 42 years old.

Sir Mackenzie Bowell-A government account cannot be outlawed.

Canada Southern Annual Meeting.

At the annual meeting at St. Thomas, Ont., June 6, the report showed that the Co. has 457.30 miles of 1st track line, 380.04 in Canada, 65.61 in Michigan & 11.65 in Ohio. There are 97.56 miles of 2nd track & 244.24 of sidings, making 799.10 of single track. These figures do not include the 15 miles of the London & Port Stanley Ry., over which the Co.'s trains run between St. Thomas & London. The equipment consists of 151 locomotives & 4,265 cars.

RESOURCES OF THE YEAR 1899.

\$462,252.09

DISPOSITION OF RESOURCES.

\$462,252.00

The following were elected directors: W. K. Vanderbilt, F. W. Vanderbilt, C. M. Depew, S. F. Barger, E. A. Wickes, J. E. Brown, C. F. Cox, New York; H. B. Ledyard, Detroit; N. Kingsmill, Toronto.

At the annual meeting of the subsidiary companies, also held at St. Thomas the same day, the following directors were elected :

LEAMINGTON & ST. CLAIB RY.-W. K. Vanderbilt, F. W. Vanderbilt, C. M. Depew, C. F. Cox, E. D. Worcester, New York; N. Kingsmill, Toronto ; J. Ross, Montreal.

NIAGARA GRAND ISLAND BRIDGE.-W. K. Vanderbilt, F. W. Vanderbilt, C. M. Depew, S. F. Barger, E. A. Wickes, E. D. Worcester, C. F. Cox, New York; H. B. Ledyard, Detroit; N. Kingsmill, Toronto.

SARNIA, CHATHAM & ERIE RY.—W. K. Van-derbilt, F. W. Vanderbilt, C. M. Depew, C. F. Cox, New York ; N. Kingsmill, Toronto.

NIAGARA RIVER BRIDGE.-W. K. Vanderbilt, F. W. Vanderbilt, C. M. Depew, S. F. Barger, E. A. Wickes, E. D. Worcester, C. F. Cox, New York; H. B. Ledyard, Detroit; N. Kingsmill, Toronto.

Grand Trunk Earnings, Expenses, &c.

The following statement of earnings supplied from the Montreal office, includes the G.T. of Canada, & the Detroit, Grand Haven & Milwaukee Rys., the earnings of the Chicago & G.T., which is in the hands of receivers, being omitted :

	1900	1899	I	ncrease	Decrease
Jan\$	1,819,988	\$ 1,606,065	\$	213,923	
Feb	1,587,923	1,505,549		82,374	
Mar		1,798,968		31,866	••••
April	1,813,714	1,637,867		175,847	•••••
May	1,872,773	1,750,690		122,083	• • • • • •
June	1,917,244	1,777,663		1 39, 581	•••••
\$1	0,842,476	\$10,076,802	\$	765,674	

The following figures are issued from the London, Eng., office :

GRAND TRUNK RAILWAY

Revenue statement for April, 1900: 1899 Increase Decrease 1900
 1900
 1899

 Gross receipts.....£357,000
 £321,700

 Working expenses. 240,000
 205,700
£ 25.200 205,700 34,300 Net profit.....£117,000 £116,000 £1,000 Aggregate Jan. 1 to April 30, 1900 :
 1900
 1899 Increase Decrease

 Gross receipts
 £1,389,200 £1,288,900 £100,300

 Working expenses.
 98,900

 93,900
 96,000
Net profit...... £399,300 £395,000 £ 4,300 DETROIT, GRAND HAVEN AND MILWAUKEE RY. Revenue statement for April, 1900: 1899 Increase Decrease £14,700 £ 900 12,300 400 1000 Gross receipts..... £15,600 Working expenses. 12,700 Net profit.... £ 2,900 £ 2,400 £ 500 Aggregate Jan. 1 to April 30, 1900: 1899 Increase Decrease

1900 Gross receipts.....£59,800 Working expenses...51,100 £56,400 £3,400 1,**6**00 49,500 Net profit..... £ 8,700 £ 6,900 £1,800 TRAFFIC RECEIPTS Jan. 1 to May 31: Ĭn De-1899. 1900. crease. crease. Grand Trunk....£1,758,869 £1,633,350 £125,519 D., G. H. & M... 75,080 71,952 3,128 Total.....£1,833,949 £1,705,302 £128,647

Mackenzie & Mann's Yukon Claims.

In the Senate June 7, Sir Mackenzie Bowell enquired :---

1. Has any claim or claims been made by Mackenzie & Mann for compensation, by the Government, for alleged expenditure incurred by them on account of the non-ratification by Parliament of a contract entered into by them & the Government for the construction of a railway from the waters of the Stikine a. Initial and the second se

that any legal or equitable claim exists on the part of Mackenzie & Mann, arising out of the non-ratification of the said contract ?

3. What sum has been claimed in payment of such expenditures, disbursements & losses, & what evidence has been laid before the government sustaining such claims?

4. Has the Government taken any action in connection with such claims? If not, do they propose doing so?

Mr. Mills replied-I may say, in reply to the 1st question, that the answer is yes. In answer to the 3rd question, I may say that the amount & interest is \$303,433.24. The evidence produced in support of the claim consists of vouchers duly certified, approved & receipted & in nearly all cases the retired bank cheque is attached. In answer to the 4th question, I may say that no decision has yet been arrived at in respect of this claim, & therefore I am not in a position to answer the 2nd question.

Sir Mackenzie Bowell-Then the matter is still under consideration ?

Mr. Mills-The matter is still in the hands of the Government and has not yet been concluded.

The C.P.R. Employes' contribution to the Canadian Patriotic Fund is \$12,033.61, being practically half a day's pay over the whole system. It was contributed as follows :--

ystem. It was contributed as ione	
Shops & stores, mechanical department	
Trackmen & extra laborers	2,131 09
Officials & clerks	1.717 94
Stations, freight sheds, etc	1,410 84
Drivers & Firemen.	1,390 71
Conductors & crews	1,374 83
Bridges & building	681 42
Telegraph Sleeping & dining cars, hotels, river &	344 58
lake steamers.	

The employes on lines east of Fort William contributed \$6,829.55, & the employes on lines west of Fort William \$5,204.09.