

## THE A.A.A. AND YOU

Many of you may have noticed that written at the top of your Students Card directly underneath the Student Representative Council are three words — Amateur Athletic Association. What then is the AAA and what purpose does it serve on this campus?

The AAA is your voice, the student voice in all athletic concerns at this university. It is directly responsible only to the Athletic Board and the Students Rep. Council, and to both of these assemblies it expresses its wishes and views on behalf of the student body.

However, let me be more specific and outline directly some of the duties of the AAA:

1. The AAA is responsible for maintaining strict supervision over all athletic property and student athletic equipment.
2. It is responsible for the co-ordination of all Varsity, Junior Varsity and intramural athletics for both men and women.
3. It is responsible for appointing managers, assistant managers and trainers for the various Varsity teams.
4. It is responsible for seeing that all the above managerial duties are carried out in their most efficient way.
5. The AAA shall have supervision and control over all athletic teams, and insofar as affairs relating to the general policy of the AAA are concerned.
6. The AAA shall give on behalf of the Students Representative Council and in recognition of distinguished achievements in Varsity Athletics, the awards which from time to time may be adopted by the AAA.
7. The AAA outlines the necessary requirements for the attainment of all athletic awards.

As you can see by the above, the powers and duties invested in the AAA are fairly extensive. However, it is impossible for this association to function without the backing and interest needed from the student body. If you have any questions you would like answered, any news to express, etc., just contact any member of the executive of the AAA and we will promise you our honest attention. Remember the AAA is your voice in Athletic Affairs.

Gail Godden (1st Vice President AAA)

YEARBOOKS AVAILABLE  
THURSDAY, OCTOBER 24  
YEARBOOK OFFICE - STUDENT'S CENTRE,  
6:30 - 8:30

## Brunswickian



Established in 1957, The Brunswickian is published each Wednesday by and for the students of the University of New Brunswick at Fredericton, N.B. Opinions expressed are not necessarily those of the Students' Representative Council. Subscriptions are available to non-students at \$3.00 a year. Authorized as second class matter, Post Office Department, Ottawa, and paid for in cash.

OFFICE: Memorial Students' Centre

PHONE: Granite 5-6191

**Russell Irvine**  
Editor-in-Chief  
**Bob Cooper** Managing Editor  
**Charles English** Business Manager

News Editor ..... Derek Hamilton  
Features Editor ..... Gary Davis  
Sports Editor ..... Dave Clark  
Women Sports Editor ..... Pat Martin  
Proofs Editor ..... Ian Stoddart  
C.U.P. Editor ..... Ann Colwell  
Layout Editor ..... John Woolliams  
Assistant Bus. Man. .... Eric Johnston  
Advertising Man ..... Muriel Ann Walker  
Staff: Tony Dew, Ed. Bell, Harry Bursey, Teda Tracy, Nancy Tanton, Helen Kerr, Sue Green, Nancy Webster, Jennifer Black, Cynthia Clott, Joyce Bradley, Carol Scarborough, Margie Hagerman, James Wallace, Brian Bunner, Shelia Hutchinson.

## Letters To The Editor

Dear Sir,  
By way of answering Michael Devon, we would like to say that we have heard of a rumor which has spread across the campus. This rumour states that several "poor-conditioned, careless inexperienced and unco-ordinated" football players put on a bit of a show for the UNB fans at College Field last Saturday. We wonder if Mr. Devon has possibly heard this rumour, and if he has,

would he possibly mind eating a column of garbage which appeared in last week's Brunswickian.

Thank-you  
Cabe Barban  
Bob Burt  
Don Clot'd  
Wayne Spino

ED. This rumour is correct and all the above gentlemen should be congratulated on a fine performance. I am sure Mr. Devon is taking note.



Dear Mr. Editor,

Last week Mr. Ron Percy wrote to you, and I thank him for his interest, regarding the University Athletic Board in general and the U.N.B. Golf Team in particular. I wish first to enlighten Mr. Percy as regards to his reference to the 'administration' dominated Athletic Board.

The Athletic Board is, in fact, not dominated by the university administration but instead is composed of fifteen members, six of whom are student representatives. Other Board members include four faculty representatives (one of which is a non-voting member), two members from the Athletic Department, a member from the Associated Alumni, the Business Manager of the University, and the President of the University who acts as Chairman.

The purpose of the Athletic Board is to 'promote and control athletics at the University of New Brunswick'. Mr. Percy suggests that the administration, through the Athletics Board, has 'been eating away at the rights of students'. This is simply not the case. The administrative and faculty members on the Board are just as interested, perhaps even more so, in operating and maintaining a balanced and entertaining athletic program as is our student body. These same members will welcome, consider, and act upon any serious student proposals or recommendations brought before the Board through the Amateur Athletic Association or through other student Board representatives. What is important is that our student body must voice its criticism, whether good or bad, through the respective student channels first. It is most discouraging to the administrative and faculty Board members to work with new student representatives who have not familiarized themselves with the policies and procedures adopted by the board over the years, and who are not really informed as regards to student opinion.

With reference to sending the U.N.B. Golf Team (M.I.A.A. Champions 1963-1964) to compete in the Quebec golf meet held at Sherbrooke, Quebec: The \$84.00 extra required in funds, in itself, is not important and should not be considered as the sole criteria for U.N.B.'s not attending. What is important, however, is that Board policy definitely limits the Varsity Golf Team to the M.I.A.A. tournament. But again, this policy to some degree is flexible. If the U.N.B. team had received an official invitation and if the A.A.A. had been informed about this tournament earlier than it was, the

case could have been presented before the Athletic Board, through the A.A.A., for its consideration.

Finally, I am listing the student representatives who are members of the University Athletic Board. They are your voice in matters pertaining to Athletic policy formation at our university.

Sandy Lebanc President S.R.C.  
Brian Ross President A.A.A.  
Gail Godden 1st Vice President, A.A.A.  
Russ Irvine\* Editor, Brunswickian  
Dave Parker\* Student Representative  
Richard Clark\* Student Representative  
\* - appointed by the S.R.C.  
Thank you for your attention, I remain,  
Yours truly,  
Brian Ross  
President, A.A.A.

## Campus Calendar

A weekly schedule of events of interests to the UNB student body, compiled by the campus co-ordinator. If you wish to have your events publicized in this column, please contact SHEILA HUTCHINSON, Campus co-ordinator, Lady Dunn Hall (Call GR-5-9984) or address them to her in care of the Brunswickian, Campus Mail.

Wednesday, Oct. 23:  
SRC, Tartan Room, 6:30 p.m.  
(Subject to revision)

Thursday, Oct. 24:  
Curling Club Meeting - Tartan Room - 7:30  
Rod and Gun Club - Oak Room - 7:30

Friday, Oct. 25:  
Bushman's Ball: McConnell Hall, 9:00 - 1:00  
Law Ball: Eden Rock Motel - 9:30 - 1:00

Saturday, Oct. 26:  
Varsity Football - UNB at Shearwater  
J. V. Football - Mt. Allison at U.N.B. at College Field  
Varsity Soccer - St. Mary's at UNB, 2:00 p.m.  
Sunday and Monday nothing doing.

Tuesday, Oct. 29:  
Chemical Institute of Canada: 'Role of a new graduate in a chemical production plant' M. 28C - 1:30 p.m.

**DID YOU KNOW:** Comparing the time spent on meetings to the total budget spending for one year, the Students Representative council spends an average of more than thirteen dollars PER SECOND!

## Hotspot

Well, the universities have done it again. For the past eighteen years the powers that be have been warned time and time again that there would, in 1962-3, be a tremendous increase in students, commonly called war-babies, wanting a university education. For the past eighteen years universities have been building, not for 1962-3, but for the expected enrollment in five years time, if that much. Actually most of them have just been keeping their heads above water.

The day of reconing has arrived, not in the universities, but in the homes. From Bona Vista to Vancouver Harbour parents have been literally tearing their hair out trying to get their sons and daughters into university. Many consider themselves lucky to get them into a prep-school and they are.

At the close of the last year there was a similar problem. The parents of these students, the veterans, wanted to get an education. Facilities were provided. They were makeshift and temporary, but they were provided. Now we are faced with practically the same situation. Whether or not the enrollment will increase or decrease now that this influx of students is here is neither here nor there. They are here, not unannounced, and it is educators job to provide them with facilities.

Who should pay, monetarily speaking? Funds come from the government, by far the largest amount, from business, industry, the professions and the public in general. According to Dr. Geoffrey Andrew, Executive Director of the Canadian Universities Foundation, in the next five years Canadian Universities should have at their disposal \$1,000,000,000. That is a lot of money, but in all probability it is a very conservative estimate. To this writer, there are some groups of donors who are not holding up their end of the bargain. Business and industry are the chief users of college-educated individuals. How much, in proportion, do they contribute to the training of their future personnel? It might be worth looking into. Also, don't think for a moment that your tuition fees pay for your education. Far from it. Private individuals and alumni do not give enough. After all, they are the people who benefit from an education.

Back to the maritimes, you might be interested in knowing just how some applicants have been treated in these parts. One person of this writer's acquaintance was formally accepted (at Dal I think) and then, when it was too late to find another university, was sent a letter stating that the university was forced to refuse her application. They found that they had too many students and raised the entrance requirements. What sort of organization is this? Who do these people think they are that they can play around with a year of someone's life like this?

This university, as you know, has just recently opened a campaign for more funds. Perhaps some of this money should be used in building temporary quarters. The problem rests with you, the future alumni of this university.

SUN	2:00
	2:01
	4:30
	5:00
	6:30
	7:00
	8:00
	8:15
	9:00
	10:00
	11:00
	11:15
MON	6:00
	6:01
	6:30
	6:45
	7:00
	8:00
	9:00
	11:00
	11:15
TUE	6:00
	6:01
	6:30
	6:45
	7:00
	8:00
	10:00
	11:00
	11:15
WE	6:00
	6:01
	6:30
	6:45
	7:00
	8:00
	10:00
	11:00
	11:15
TH	6:00
	6:01
	6:30
	6:45
	7:00
	8:00
	10:00
	11:00
	11:15