The Order of the Day being read for the reading of the Petition of Hugh Hunter, of the Township of Egremont, in the County of Grey and Province of Ontario; praying for the passing of an Act to dissolve his marriage with Catherine McPhee,

The Honorable Mr. Aikins presented to the House certain papers, the Return of

Service and the Notice for Bill of Divorce.

The latter papers were then read by the Clerk as follow:-

NOTICE OF APPLICATION FOR DIVORCE.

I hereby give notice that I intend to apply to the Parliament of Canada, at the next Session thereof, for a divorce from Catherine Hunter, formerly Catherine McPhee, to whom I was lawfully married—on the ground that said Catherine Hunter is living in adultery with one Tait in the City of Toronto.

Dated at Egremont, in the County of Grey, this first day of August, A.D., 1877.

(Signed) HUGH HUNTER.

Served a copy of this notice on Catherine Hunter, at the Village of Yorkville, between the hours of 10 o'clock and 15 past 10 o'clock, a.m., the first day of February, 1878.

WILKIN-B. BUTLER.

Ехнівіт "А."

This is the exhibit marked "A" referred to in the affidavit of Wilkin Bromilow Butler, hereunto annexed, sworn to before me this 2nd day of February, A.D., 1878.

E. M. CHADWICK, A Commissioner, &c.

County of York, To wit:

I Wilkin Bromilow Butler, of the City of Toronto, in the County of York, Land

Agent, make oath and say:-

1. On Friday the first day of this present month of February, I did personally serve upon Catherine Hunter, the wife of Hugh Hunter, of the Township of Egremont, in the County of Grey, Farmer, a notice of application for Divorce, a duplicate whereof, in words and figures the same, is hereunto annexed, and now produced and shown to me marked "A."

2. The said service was made at the Village of Yorkville, in the house of one Tait,

with whom the said Catherine Hunter habitually resides.

3. When I served the said notice on the said Catherine Hunter, I told her I did so at the instance of Mr. Hugh Hunter, her husband, and that she could appear to answer to application.

4. The said Catherine Hunter, read the said annexed notice in my presence and appeared clearly to understand the object of the notice, which I so served upon her, and said that she knew all about the matter it referred to, and that it was all right.

5. That I know that the person I so served with said notice is Catherine Hunter the wife of the said Hugh Hunter: of Egremont, by reason of the said Catherine Hunter having been identified to me in the month of August last, by James Murdoch, of the said Township of Egremont, who was present as I am informed and believe at the marriage of the said Hugh and Catherine Hunter.

6. That at the time of such identification, the said Catherine Hunter, the said Tait with whom she was then residing, the said James Murdoch and myself, were all present