

### Questions

shown below. One-half of each subscription is callable and one-half is paid in. Each subscription was paid in five equal annual instalments between 1967 and 1971. All figures are in millions of US dollars.

Australia	5.0	Italy	20.0
Belgium	5.0	Netherlands	11.0
Canada	25.0	Norway	5.0
Denmark	5.0	Sweden	5.0
Finland	5.0	Switzerland	5.0
France	25.0	United Kingdom	30.0
Germany, Fed. Rep. of	34.0	United States	200.0

In addition the following countries, Canada excluded, have made contributions in the following amounts to the consolidated Special Funds of the Bank. These contributions are not made on an annual basis but are voluntary and vary considerably in payment terms.

Denmark	US \$ 2,300,000
Finland	US \$ 108,173
Germany, Fed. Rep. of	US \$ 86,137
Netherlands	US \$ 2,237,568
Switzerland	US \$ 200,000
United Kingdom	US \$14,518,434
United States	US \$ 1,250,000

### ATOMIC ENERGY—DOUGLAS POINT NUCLEAR POWER PROJECT

#### Question No. 972—Mr. Coates:

1. Was a decision taken by the federal government or AECL to undertake construction of CANDU at Douglas Point and, if so (a) on what date (b) what was the estimated cost of construction of this plant at that time (c) what was the estimated date of completion?

2. Did the federal government or AECL negotiate an arrangement with Ontario Hydro for the take-over of the Douglas Point plant and, if so (a) what were the original terms of that agreement (b) what was the proposed date of take-over (c) does such an agreement still exist between the federal government or AECL and Ontario Hydro and, if so, what are the terms of the present agreement?

3. On what date was the construction of CANDU completed and at what cost?

4. Has CANDU become fully operational as yet and, if not, for what reason?

5. What is the present complement of employees at the Douglas Point plant and what will be the estimated payroll cost for the fiscal year 1970-71?

6. Has electrical energy been sold to Ontario Hydro that has been generated by CANDU and, if so (a) how much was sold in 1970 (b) at what revenue to AECL or the federal government (c) what was the total production of energy from this plant during 1970?

7. (a) On what date does AECL or the federal government expect CANDU to become fully operational (b) will it then be sold to Ontario Hydro and, if so (i) what is the estimated selling price (ii) what will be the estimated loss to AECL or the federal government from the sale of this property?

**Hon. J. J. Greene (Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources):** Atomic Energy of Canada Limited reports as follows:

1. Yes. (a) In June 1959, Atomic Energy of Canada Limited was authorized by the Federal Government to proceed with the construction of the CANDU nuclear

[Mr. Ouellet.]

plant which later became the Douglas Point Nuclear Power Project. (b) \$81,507,000. (c) Construction completion in 1964. Operational service in 1965.

2. Yes. (a) The plant is owned by Atomic Energy of Canada Limited and delivers energy to the Hydro-Electric Power Commission of Ontario. The Commission provided the site and the interconnection with its southern system. The Commission operates the station and purchases the energy delivered at the same rate it pays for energy from interconnected systems. When the plant has established itself as a dependable generating unit, the Commission will purchase it from Atomic Energy of Canada Limited at a price calculated to render the cost of energy produced by it equal to that of energy from a reference fossil-fired conventional thermal generating unit in the Commission's system. (b) See (a). (c) Yes, the original agreement remains in force.

3. November, 1966. \$89,637,000.

4. Yes.

5. Ontario Hydro operates the station for Atomic Energy of Canada Limited. Present complement of employees is 208. Ontario Hydro paid their employees approximately \$2,600,000.

6. Yes. (a) Approximately 830 million kilowatt hours. (b) Approximately \$4,900,000. (c) 915.2 million kilowatt hours. The difference between (a) and (c) represents energy consumed in the station.

7. (a) It became fully operational in September 1968. (b) See answer to 2. (a). (i) See answer to 2. (a). (ii) The difference between the cost of construction and the selling price cannot be determined until the sale is negotiated. Such difference is not a "loss" in the sense the word is used in the question; it represents the cost of developing a prototype reactor.

### CANADIAN INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

#### Question No. 973—Mr. Coates:

1. On what date was Paul Gérin-Lajoie appointed Director of C.I.D.A. and what is his present salary?

2. Where is the office of C.I.D.A. located (a) what floor space has been leased (b) from whom (c) for what period of time (d) at what annual cost?

3. Does C.I.D.A. provide a limousine and chauffeur for the Director and, if so (a) what type of motor vehicle is provided (b) what did it cost (c) what is the name of the chauffeur (d) what is his annual salary?

4. Did the Department of Public Works renovate the offices of the Director of C.I.D.A. and his personnel and, if so (a) what method was used for the renovation (b) at what cost?

**Mr. J. A. Jerome (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council):** I am informed by the Department of External Affairs and the Department of Public Works as follows: 1. The date of appointment is November 15, 1970. The salary range of the President of CIDA is \$35,000 to \$39,000.

2. The offices of CIDA are located in the Jackson Building, corner of Bank and Slater Streets, Ottawa, with the Finance Division being located in the Nicol Building,