

think he is a good news commentator and there is a segment of the audience who likes to hear Paul Harvey.

We always preface our introduction by saying "This is an American news commentator on international events, commenting from Chicago". We position him and say "This is an American, talking about world affairs."

Mr. Rogers: I would just like to add though that when there is an American convention, we send either Gilmour or Flemming to cover them because I think it is important that we get the Canadian viewpoint of the foreign affairs, whenever it is possible.

We obviously cannot send reporters everywhere but that is the significance of the *Telegram* arrangement with their 10 or 11 correspondents in London, Paris, Hong Kong and so forth; to actually have a Canadian over there who would say "What does this mean to the people in Canada"?

I hope that this sort of thing continues in the future and I hope also perhaps that the four or five competing news radio services might jointly sponsor one or two Canadian news gathering facilities abroad.

The Chairman: I should make clear to Mr. Bjerre that I quite appreciate the reasons for the arrangement with the ABC. It is just that Paul Harvey, I am afraid, being just slightly to the right of Barry Goldwater, I find annoying.

Mr. Bjerre: I might say we have continual room for expressions by people who are considerably to the left of Barry Goldwater, but might I just say...

The Chairman: Not on a regular daily basis, I am sure.

Mr. Bjerre: Might I just say our two principal news commentators are Bill Gilmour and Jim Flemming. They are on the air four times a day. They have been with the station a number of years and have a very good background in broadcasting and journalism.

Over the last three or four years we have sent these commentators to Vietnam, to Moscow, to the Middle East—one went to Israel and the other one went to Egypt and other Arab countries. We have sent them to the Republican Convention in Miami, the Democratic Convention in Chicago.

They went to the Washington riots and the Detroit riots. Here in Canada we have sent them to Montreal on several occasions when there have been news stories there and other parts of the country; so we do take our own people and within our resources we send them out and I think perhaps we do more of this...

The Chairman: Yes, I should say to the Senators who are not from Toronto that I think in fairness to CHFI perhaps more than most private stations, you do give a Canadian viewpoint on American affairs.

I just wondered why you insist on ruining it all with my friend?

Mr. Rogers: I think I should put on the record that when the affiliation was with CHUM, they played Paul Harvey.

The Chairman: Oh, I am aware of that.

Mr. Rogers: ... and when it was with CKFH I believe they played Paul Harvey. I think CFCF played Paul Harvey.

The Chairman: I would suggest if you are interested, if anybody wants to pursue this, there is an excellent article on Paul Harvey in the current issue of *Esquire* I think it says it all very well.

Do you have a supplementary question, Mr. Fortier?

Mr. Fortier: I have a supplementary question but it is not on Paul Harvey.

The Chairman: Thank heavens.

Mr. Fortier: Mr. Rogers, do you foresee use being made in the future by your news and public affairs division of the cable system of CHFI news commentaries.

Mr. Rogers: Yes, I would most certainly hope so.

Mr. Fortier: What do you envisage as tangible evidence of this direction?

Mr. Rogers: Well, I think that the thrust of cable is to create more Canadian programming and in our particular case the news bureau that we have in Ottawa will be used for producing cable news programming as well as radio new programming.

Now, the cable news, again because of distribution problems would not be day to day,