

Dr. Ollivier: Well, you would be surprised at the number of judges who resign instead of being impeached.

Mr. Fortier: Mr. Chairman, I think it should also be pointed out that this very exhaustive memorandum which was prepared by Dr. Ollivier was communicated to Mr. Humphrey during that meeting last week and which I think you will agree, if you have read it, answers the better part of Mr. Humphrey's legal arguments which have now been repeated by Mr. Justice Landreville. He was handed a copy of this memorandum last week.

Mr. Tolmie: Perhaps the motion which has been lost in the shuffle was rather ambiguous. I would like to reiterate what I stated before. I would move that a copy of the formal objections be made available to all members of our Committee and that all further meetings of our Committee be suspended and that counsel for our Committee and the steering committee peruse these formalized objections and, after due perusal, the Chairman call a meeting of this Committee, at which time the counsel for our Committee would be present and the Committee deal with these preliminary objections.

Mr. Stafford: I second the motion.

The Joint Chairman Mr. Laflamme: Those in favour of the motion? All those opposed?

I declare the motion carried.

Motion agreed to.

I think we will now adjourn.

Thursday, February 23, 1967.

● (9.40 a.m.)

The Joint Chairman Mr. Laflamme: Order, please. I think we have enough members to start our deliberations. I call this meeting to order. I would tell you first that since our interesting meeting of last Monday night, at your request—as we had a motion approved by the members calling for a meeting of your steering committee to undertake a full study of the legal objections raised by His Lordship Justice Landreville we held a steering committee meeting last Tuesday, and I want to refer to the minutes of our Clerk which state:

Tuesday, February 21, 1967

The subcommittee on Agenda and Procedure of the Special Joint Committee

25647—2

of the Senate and of the House of Commons respecting Mr. Justice Landreville met at 9.40 a.m. this day.

Members present: The Honourable Senator Lang, Mr. Laflamme, Mr. Bell. (Carleton)—(3).

In attendance: Dr. Maurice Ollivier, Parliamentary Counsel, Mr. Yves Fortier, Counsel to the Committee, Mr. Joseph Maingot, Law Branch of the House of Commons.

Mr. Laflamme referred to the Committee's meeting of February 20, 1967. He noted that most of the objections raised in the statement given by Mr. Justice Landreville were already refuted in Dr. Ollivier's "Memorandum on Procedure", dated January 31, 1967, copy of which was handed to Mr. David G. Humphrey, Q.C., representative of Mr. Justice Landreville, at a meeting held on February 14, 1967, as authorized by the Committee's resolution of February 9, 1967.

Mr. Justice Landreville's objections were then examined at length. A report will be prepared by the Counsel to the Committee, in consultation with the Parliamentary Counsel. The report will be sent to all Members of the Committee.

I know this has been distributed to everyone.

with a request that it be considered as strictly confidential until the next meeting of the Committee, at which time it will be presented for discussion.

A telegram will be sent—

—and has in fact been sent—

to Mr. Justice Landreville advising him that the next meeting of the Committee will take place, on Thursday, February 23, at 9.30 a.m.

At the moment I would ask your permission to deposit a telegram which has been sent to:

Hon. Léo A. Landreville
 10 Bonvenuto Place, Toronto

Please be advised that the Select Joint Committee will reconvene at 9.30 a.m. on Thursday February 23rd at which I will be submitting my argument to your statement made before the Committee on February 20 last.

L. Yves Fortier
 Counsel to the Committee