or cupida, which have it flattened, while cupida varies in California in a character (the open orbicular) which Mr. Morrison uses to separate exsertistigma.

To the list of synonyms I have given as recently made by Mr-Morrison, Mr. Morrison adds that of *Orthosia baliola*. They would there, fore stand as follows:

- 1. Copipanolis vernalis Morr. = Eutolype Rolandi.
- 2. Mamestra illabefacta Morr. = Mamestra lilacina.
- 3. Anthoptera nigrocaput Morr. = X. Ridingsii.
- 4. Orthosia baliola Morr. = Apamea purpuripennis.
- 5. Hadena rasilis Morr. = Elaphria grata.

Of these five synonyms, one (No. 4) I had not detected, one (No. 5) is not conceded by Mr. Morrison and three (Nos. 1-3) are now admitted by him.

Mr. Morrison is in error in stating that I remark that his vulgivaga is "probably a re-description of H. apamiformis." I quote the species on page 215 as a distinct species unknown to me, and merely say "from the description I think it not improbable," etc., which is a very different thing. I make no positive statement with regard to either sericea or vulgivaga. I am glad that sericea is not founded on the specimen sent me as a "n. s." allied to apiata, because that was apiata. I thought sericea might be the insect, because Mr. Morrison disputed my determination and thought it distinct, and because he speaks comparatively of apiata in his description of sericea.

In Can. Ent., 6, 250, Mr. Morrison states that "Mr. Grote refers Ceramica to Taeniocampa." In my paper (Bul. Buff. S. N. S., 2, 122) I give the genera (as elsewhere) separately and distinctly, but cite their names under the same heading in a short synoptical table, with the remark, "I have no perfectly preserved specimens of Ceramica exusta, and the structural difference from Taeniocampa is not apparent to me," as an excuse for so doing.

Mr. Morrison's remark as to my identification of Agrotis lycarum 1 think is unfairly put. This identification was always made hesitatingly from a figure, and had been finally abandoned before Mr. Morrison had written on the subject. Again, repentis G. & R. was described in Europe and the name a ms. one of Gueneé's. That we had not then identified messoria was, perhaps, pardonable, Mr. Riley also having redescribed Harris' species as Cochrani.