Morth-West Territories.

SUPREME COURT.

En Banc.]

PAUL v. FLINN.

[June 5.

Pleading—Embarrassing—Adding parties—Third party procedure.

This was an appeal (by special leave) from an order of RICHARDSON, J., striking out certain paragraphs of the statement of defence. The action was brought for foreclosure of a mortgage given by the defendant and K., his since deceased partner, to plaintiffs. Prior to the issue of the writ an order was made under sec. 492 (10) of the Judicature Ordinance, that no action be brought, and that all actions and proceedings pending against the administratrix of the estate of K. be stayed for a period of four months.

The paragraphs of the statement of defence struck out alleged that as the defendant was the surviving partner of the firm of K. and himself, the administrative of the istratrix of the estate of K. should be made a party, inasmuch as he was entitled to seem? entitled to contribution from the said estate, and by the above order was prevented from vented from proceeding against the estate for contribution. From the order striking out this striking out this portion of the statement of defence the defendant appealed.

Held that the

Held, that the said paragraphs were bad in law and were properly struck that if the defender. out; that if the defendant wanted the administratrix of the estate of K. joined as a defendant be about? as a defendant, he should have applied under sec. 46 of the Judicature Ordinance, and that the defendant wanted the administratrix of the estate of K. John as a defendant, he should have applied under sec. 46 of the Judicature Ordinance, and that the defendance are the state of the defendance and that the defendance are the state of the estate of the estate of the ordinance and that the defendance are the state of the estate of the estate of the estate of the ordinance are the state of the estate of the estate of the ordinance are the estate of the estate nance, and that the defendant's proper means of obtaining the contribution he alleged he was satisfied by he alleged he was entitled to was by the Third Party procedure provided by the Judicature Ordinance.

Appeal dismissed with costs. Robson, for respondent. Secord, Q.C., for appellant.

WESTERN ASSINIBOIA JUDICIAL DISTRICT.

RICHARDSON, J.]

[June 8.

Writ of execution — Expiration of — Judicature Ordinance, sec. 327, and Ordinance No. 5 of -0.

Plaintiffs issued a fi. fa. lands on 7th October, 1893. Under sec. 327 of Judicature Ordinance on the companied the Judicature Ordinance, as then in force, every writ of execution remained in force for one year from it. in force for one year from its date and no longer, if unexecuted, unless renewed.

By Ordinance No. 5 of 1802. By Ordinance No. 5 of 1894, which came into force 7th September, 1894, said sec. 327 was amended as a second secon remain in force for two years from its date, and no longer, if unexecuted, unless renewed. . ."

Plaintiff's writ of execution was not renewed until 22nd August, 1895. er it the sheriff sold comment. Under it the sheriff sold certain lands.

Upon application to confirm such sale,