

## Flotsam and Jetsam.

JUDGE (to prospective juryman)—“What is your occupation?” P.J.—“Collector for the gas company.” Judge.—“You are excused. It would be impossible for you to bring in a true bill.”—*Irish Law Times.*

A TRAGEDY AVERTED.—An amusing incident occurred in the Lord Mayor’s Court on April 15th, where the Recorder was sitting trying cases. A jury had heard a case, and, being unable to agree, retired to deliberate. After a while a note from the jury was handed to the Recorder, who, after perusing it, said: “I must prevent a tragedy; send for the jury.” Upon returning into Court the jury were discharged without giving a verdict, as they were still unable to agree. It was afterwards stated that the note to the judge ran: “Ten of us agree; but the other two decline to agree while they have breath in their bodies.”—*The Law Journal.*

PROBABLY the most unique trial on record in the Union Circuit Court took place recently. It was the suit of *James Roll v. Adelaide A. Hanson*. The proceedings were remarkably short, and were substantially as follows: Judge Van Syckel to sheriff—“Call a jury.” About five minutes were devoted to swearing the jury. Mr. Robert G. Bell, plaintiff’s attorney—“I will make no opening. Take the stand, Mr. Roll.” Roll’s testimony—“I loaned defendant \$55 without any paper.” Mr. Bell—“That is our case.” Defendant’s attorney—“No opening. Take the stand, Mrs. Hanson.” Defendant’s testimony—“Roll did not loan me \$55.” Defendant’s attorney—“That is our case.” Judge Van Syckel—“Will you sum up, gentlemen?” Mr. Bell—“I do not care to, your honor.” Defendant’s attorney—“I will leave it to the jury.” Judge Van Syckel to jurors—“The Court will leave it to you, too, gentlemen. Swear an officer.” This unique charge caused much merriment. The jury retired, and soon filed back again into the court-room with a verdict for the defendant.—*Elizabeth Journal (U.S.).*

## Law Society of Upper Canada.

THE LAW SCHOOL,  
1891.

LEGAL EDUCATION COMMITTEE.

CHARLES MOSS, Q.C., *Chairman.*

C. ROBINSON, Q.C.      Z. A. LASH, Q.C.  
JOHN HOSKIN, Q.C.    J. H. FERGUSON, Q.C.  
F. MACKELCAN, Q.C.   N. KINGSMILL, Q.C.  
W. R. MEREDITH, Q.C.

This notice is designed to afford necessary information to Students-at-Law and Articled Clerks, and those intending to become such, in regard to their course of study and examinations. They are, however, also recommended to read carefully in connection herewith the Rules of the Law Society which came into force June 25th, 1889, and September 21st, 1889, respectively, copies of which may be obtained from the Secretary of the Society, or from the Principal of the Law School.

Those Students-at-Law and Articled Clerks, who, under the Rules, are required to attend the Law School during all the three terms of the School Course, will pass all their examinations in the School, and are governed by the School Curriculum only. Those who are entirely exempt from attendance in the School will pass all their examinations under the existing Curriculum of The Law Society Examinations as heretofore. Those who are required to attend the School during one term or two terms only will pass the School Examination for such term or terms, and their other Examination or Examinations at the usual Law Society Examinations under the existing Curriculum.

Provision will be made for Law Society Examinations under the existing Curriculum as formerly for those students and clerks who are wholly or partially exempt from attendance in the Law School.

Each Curriculum is therefore published herein accompanied by those directions which appear to be most necessary for the guidance of the student.