WOHSHIP OF THE IORD.
In every place, at every hour, Though poor my worship be, In weal or woe, in shine or shower, 0 God I worship Thee.
I worship Thee, in fear by night, And thoughts of death employ ;
But soon as beams the glorious light,
I worship Thee in joy.
In wonder, Lord, I worship Thee, When on Thy works I gaze:
So various, lovely, vast they be, I pause in deep amaze.
1 worship Thee in hope, when low, Each daily care I prove;
And when rich fields with fulness flow, I worship Thee in love.

When thunders roll and whirwinds fly, I worship Thee in awe,
And praise, as clears the glorious sky, Thy mercy, love, and law.
Thus every hour, in every place, Though poor miy worship be,
In fear, love, wonder, joy and praise, O Lord, I worship Thee.

## For the Colonial Churchman.

, Messrs. Edilors,
Having read with much fleasure in your papers lately some expositions of Roman Catholic errors, those errors have occasionally become suhjects of conversation between syyselfand my fellow ship-builders of that persuasion.Those conversations having come to the knowledge of the Rev.Mr. Byrne the pricst atwindsor, when he visited Parrsborough be held more than one conversation on those points, perhaps in hopes to make a convert or overwhelm me with his reasoning. Perceiring I suppose that he had not said quite enough to shake my faith, the Rev. gentleman has sent me a letter covering three closely written foolscap sheets in defence of his doctrine of Transubstantion. I need scarcely say that the attention I have given to his document, as well as what he personally said to me, has only served to strengtheu and confirm my faith in the dnetrine of the Church of England; and if the Rev. gen-- tleman had ronfined himself to argument, I should not have felt the least inclined to censure him ; for discussion is a privilege I claim and use myself and therefore gladly grant to others. But this letter has been sent to his own penple in this place as well as inyself, and contains such vinlent and unjust charges apainst the clergy of the Church of Englant in this province, und such unfounded slanders against all protestants indiscriminately, that very prcjudicial impressions are likely to be made unless somo notice be inken of his assertions. The letter contains seferences in defence of transubstantiation to the Cypriansthe Dennises-Hilary-St. Andrews-Bercigarius, and many other ancients, that I, being a working man, cannot be supposed to have much acgunintance with; and it closes with the following uncalled for abuse of your pa-jer-your clergy, and your protestant faith. I silall cony ji, word for word, and letter for letter, giving capital letters Where they occur in the manuscript, and making dashes where it has daslies, and notes of admiration and stops in
his meaning, nor see the connection of the different parts of the production; and let me beg of you as much accuracy in the printing of at as you can well atlain to.
"Note look to the tales of your veracious Jcurnal. - From what I have said you can casily see the funiversal belief and practice of the Church, for some of tho Fathers I have quoted, the two Cyrils to wit, lived in the $3 \mathrm{~d} \& .4$ th centuries-therefore there was no protestantism in these centuries, during which the protestants allow the church was pure-they were popish limes, but it was your interest from the conmencement of the Reformation to misrepresent us and to LiE--for this, consult Vossius, who was a learned protestant, consult Erasmus, who was favourable to them, consult $W$ ard and Cobbet-consult Hornihold, you can borrow it from Thomas Morrisey-and lastly consult your ouen evidence-are there not some parishes in Nova Scotia which do not contain, Each, more than three dozen church of of England men al most-And now, on a late occasion, you cannot but know it, did not your ministers, by the force of palpable lies induce persons of every denomination to sign petitions to Government under prelence of wanting Curates for three dozen persons, - but the real object being to enhance their labours, fand increase their salary, to stcell their numbers thro' falschood-Now Sir, if you dont know of this, I hnow it well-and can produce ample proofs of the falsenoods of these mefk masieters of tue Gospen, now, I have upwards of $T$ wo thousand persons under imy spiritual care, and 1 receive nothing from Government, or private societies-No, nothing but what God sends me, and yet I know I have more than many of then-why so, because the one is a divine es-tablishment-the other a Gorermnert esiablishmentthe one would evidently fall but for the hand of God for the Gevernment, - but for the hard earnings of the people wrung from them by taration, the Catholic Contributes lis share for misrcpresenting him. From what I have said abore, there is no protestant doctrine in the Gospels or Epistles on this sulject, as is quite evident to any man who will not allow himself to be deceired-from the testimonies of St. Pau! St. Andrew and the liturgies of the Apostles, it is manifest that there was no protestantism in their ime-the testimonies of the Cyrils prove there was none for the first fire centuries, and the testimo nies of the Councils, and those of th.e Huly Fathers, of later date, evidently prove there was no protestant, unless Berengarius was one, down to the year 1517--it was only then that a drunken Monk, wio violated his solemin vows to God, and caused others n who, after the lapse of more than fiftecn hund he years, that began in linow the true meaning of the Scriptures ! ! ! ! !-Why, Sir, you cannot be ignurant of it that the best historians, protestant as well as Catholic, say, and not only say but proce to a demonstration, that the very religion called the ReformHypocrisy, Perjury and every rpecies of Crucily, Injustice, Lies, and Misreprescntalion, \&c. \&c. \&c.Now, Sir, to conclude, I not oniy vouch for the true meaning of the Gospels 1 have quoted, the truth of the quolations from the Fathers and Councils that 1 havo cited, but I pledge my namo to the public for the trulh of all-I also pledge myself to prove to the public the ulter fallacy of the leading quotations in the Churchman, and to explain the others, provided, Mr. Coster writes them to me such as they are. signed by his om hand, pledging himself to the public for the trull of them-this done, I am quite ready to arrange matters on equal terms to take the public opi-1 nion on our respective claims-till then-Lill lic
return this, after a $\mu$ erusal, to Mr. Murphy, and dunt trouble him in future with such rague and dangcrous lales - your saying that I quoted the Fathers falsely gives occasion to this caution on my part. -Now Sir, you may rest assured that if it were to convert at once all the protestants in Nova Scotia I would not tell one single untruth wifully-I could have no interest in it-there are many protestants who would tell you the same of me- 1 say, in the sincerity of my heart, that, even if I had nothing moro, on which to ground my faith on this subject than the 52d 63d \& 54 th verses of the 5 th ch. of St. John, and the words of institution, I would be perfectly justified and reasonable in believing "Transubstantiation,"signed
L. Byrne, P.P.

## Windsor, L9th June 1840.

I! is with reluctance that I ask so large a portion of your paper, but I shall now close with one or two very short reraarks on the Rev. Mr. Byrne's scriptural authority for ransubstantiation with which his letter closes. From the sixth-a very early chapter in St. John's history of Christ-he draws authority for the Sacrament, which was only instituted a few hours before bis death. On this therefore,surely I need auy no more. The words of Iustitution "this is my body," he sass, are plain words, and must not be understood in any but tiseir plain meaning. This loaf which I hold in my hand is my body. But I find the very same expression in twenty places of the Old and New testament, where it cannet be used in a phain sense but exaclly as the church of England understands the words of institution. Plaraoh dreamed that he saiv seren fat kine, and afterwards seven leankine, eome up out of the river. Joseph interprets his treann, the seven fat kine are seren years-the seven lean kine are seven years. He could not hare meant that a cow was actually and really a year-hut that a fat cow sepresented a fruitful yearlean cow represented a year of famine. Again, in the 7th Daniel he sair in a vision ten horns, \&c. and he aterprets it "the ten horns are ten kings that shall arise."He could not mean plainly that a horn was a king. From the New Testament I shall just mention the parable of the taies, in datthew 13 h, hecause the sery same words are used by our Lorlhimself; and as his meaning canwot be mistaken here, we shall see clearly in what scnse he used the words on the other oscasion. $\Lambda$ man sowed rood seed in his ficld-while he slept an enemy came an? sowed tarcs. Being called upon to explain the paratle, Jesus said " the ficld is the worill ; the good seed are the children of the kingdom; the tarcs ar: the children of the wickedone; the enemy is the devit; the harrest is the end of the world ; the reapers are the angels." These scriptures are quite sufficient to make the reject a docrine so repugnant to reasm as that whirh asserts the bread to be actually changed into the real hody of Christ, untwithstanding that every faculty Gow loas giren man o guide his judgment, declares it to reman the same as it was before.

## C. Scales.

Parrshorough, July 9th.

> SEIECT SENTENCES.

Prosprrity is not a juct scale; adversity is the or ly alance to veigh friends.
Religion is the best armour; but the worst cloak. Liberalits is, not giving largely, but giving wisels. He who makes an idol of his iaterest, will make a

