



By CHRISTIE WALKER

To quote President Bosnitch from Monday's SRC meeting. "I'm really discouraged." I'm wondering if Mr. Bosnitch really knows the true meaning of the word discouraged. Let me tell you what it means to me. It means having insinuations made about the paper that cannot be backed up with fact. For example, inferring that letters, articles and cartoons are censored randomly from The Brunswickan. This allegation is utterly false, for I have never "cut" an article, letter or cartoon from the paper, and neither has any other member of The Brunswickan's editorial board. Admittedly, we have overlooked a story, forgotten a cartoon and with held a letter, but for honest, not dishonest reasons. No one believes in free press, and freedom of speech more than me. No one has fought for it harder than The Brunswickan has, and no one has been accused of press monopoly more than we have.

Discouraging is the whole business of the Info Page and the new motion which states that all SRC recognized clubs and organizations are now allowed free advertising (to a minimum of 20 column inches) in the paper. You mean to tell me that we've been wasting our breath for the past 4 months over something that the students have had from the beginning of time. We've never denied any club or organization free advertising, unless you count the people we turned away because we thought the ads were meant for the Information Page. So now, four months later, we're back to where we started, back to square one except for one thing: the 20 column inches, the "no more censorship" and probably "the Information Page", will all be law, and we'll have to abide by that law.

Surprise, surprise; because in principal I'm not against free advertising for clubs and organizations, I'm not against a rule that says no random censorship should be allowed, and I'm not against printing the SRC minutes in the paper. What I'm adverse to is Mr. Bosnitch's insistence that these be laws because I know that he's not making these laws for the good of the students, or the good of The Brunswickan, or even the good of the SRC. These rules are to show the Bruns the extent of his power, that if he wants to, if the SRC wants to, they can control the press. All they have to do is write a motion and pass it in council. The Brunswickan has been reduced to a mere example of the power of the student government. Now, if we complain, are we being riotous, snivelling incompetents? Has all the fighting been nothing more than a clash of personalities?

Perhaps it has. But I'll tell you this much, I voted for John Bosnitch because I believed in him and I believed that he would do something good for the students of UNB. Do I believe that now? To tell you the truth I don't know what to believe anymore, and worse I don't know who to believe. But I'll tell you this much, the war hasn't even begun. UNB wake up!

The Third Century Fund is still unhappy with the student contributions from this campus. Where all other efforts have seemingly failed to stir up some interest, the committee has come up with a new proposition for the students in the form of a plebiscite. This referendum will give the students the opportunity to vote yes or no, when asked whether or not they will donate ten dollars along with their student fees in September. If they do this for one year the money they will receive directly from the Third Century Fund for renovations, new equipment, better facilities will be astounding. More importantly, Third Century will be able to add 5000 UNB names to their list of contributors. Imagine the impact this will make on national companies. Can we really say no? Let's make Third Century work, for everyone. Write your SRC councillor and tell him you want this referendum question to be asked at the Spring Election, and do it now!

The Brunswickan, in co-operation with CSL, will be showing "Creature From the Black Lagoon" in 3D in Tilley 102 at 7 and 9 p.m. on February 13. Also, there will be a surprise movie bonus, all for only \$2.

soundoff

FEBRUARY 11, 1983 THE BRUNSWICKAN-9

Collum ads dignitee

Editors Note: This letter has been reprinted exactly as it was written, grammatical and spelling errors included.

Dear Peeple at the Brunz:

I am not nor do I pretend to be any sort of a Connissur of fine literature but from time to time I do like to act like I am literate and enjoy picking up the occasional newspaper to keep up appearances. At times I even pick up the Brunz (actually I never miss it)... When I do I look forward with anticipation to the Brownsworth collum by Johnathan Blanchard. Alas

woe is me for this week when I quickly leafed thru to find my favorite part, and anxiously began to ploy my less than skilled abilities at this literary fest. I found that even my poor talents were insulted by the poor spelling and horrid sentence structure that turned a hilariously dry work of art into a hard to read much less understand piece of trash. I know that this is not the way that you recieved this material so why lower your own standard of an excellent paper to that of a lesser degree and risk being accused of shoddy workmanship? Note: one of

my few punctuation marks. Maybe it was a new typesetter but anyone and I mean anyone knows that "society" "hemispeir" "go so" "choset" are spelled wrong what is a committy? Look Brownsworth adds dignity to your paper give him the dignity he deserves.

Tanks fer listenin' Name withheld upon request.

P.S. You mae print this letter or not I don't care if ya do don't print muh name besides bein' frum STU I'm an English majur! (mite be mor efactiv to just pin it up over yer typesetters desk.

Children will be affected

Dear Editor:

I also was, as was the President of Flag, dissappointed not to see rape, pillage, sex with dead people, mass suicide and more not included on M.K.'s list, as I to agree they should be considered in the same category as homosexuals. Perhaps though, we should not get these types of people (murderers, rapists, etc) riled up by classifying in the same group as homosexuals.

the Bruns last week it was implied that homosexuals don't

affect the "other" people of society and therefore we should "live and let live". I feel any person growing up in a society where homosexuality is openly accepted is most definitely affected. Children growing up in such a society would more readily tend toward this type of sexual abnormality as the children would see it as an acceptable alternative. Of course though,

homosexuals do not have to worry about children, do they.

I believe any Freudian (or similar) theories that condone homosexuality are only cheap attempts to justify and explain away this outrageous behavior. Hopefully though, someone, someday, who can put up with such people long enough to determine exactly where they went wrong and come up with a cure.

Mark Bennett

Decisions not just president's

Dear Editor:

I feel that it is important that someone clarify the comments made by ex-president Gerard Finnan. In his letter "more manipulations" I found it uncomprehensible that he would not know the workings of by-

elections.

First the by-elections were beyond the control of the president and in the hands of Lethbridge, the chief returning officer.

Second, the timing of the by-elections are set by the constitution and not by council.

Furthermore the decision not to have polling stations was made by the election reform committee chaired by Lethbridge, not Bosnitch. And the decision was adopted by council and not the president alone.

Finally, Finnan's statement "that they vote, and usually against him" is incorrect. In the last election not only did Bosnitch come first at almost every polling station, he also won at McConnell, came within two votes of taking Lady Dunn and split the vote at McLeod with its ex-house president Sandra Hollingum.

Surely our ex-president must have realized this and just forgotten. I sincerely hope he has no vendettas against the president as this could mislead the students on campus.

Sincerely yours, Alex Stairs

Dear John. . . .

Dear John,

You remain a master of empty rhetoric.

Christopher

Dear Unity-John,

Independent but united? Which are you?

Christopher

Dear John,

The College Hill Social Club is not now, nor, hopefully, shall it ever be the preserve of student politics. This is perhaps the major reason the Club succeeds in serving its membership as successfully as it currently does.

To the best of my knowledge you, John, are not a member of the Club, therefore in no position to make, or infer promises and actions regarding club policy. As a member of the Social Club, I would thank you to keep it out of your petty political games.

Christopher

Dear Unity-John,

You infer responsibility for the results of other peoples efforts. That's not very nice.

Christopher