

*Question*—Do you know if Sir Hugh Allan suggested any names to the Government?

*Answer*—Yes; I know he suggested the name of Mr. Beaudry.

*Question*—Any others?

*Answer*—No others that were accepted. The only one I believe that Sir Hugh Allan was the means of introducing into the company was Mr. Beaudry.

To Sir John A. Macdonald through the Chairman:

*Question*—Speaking of the terms which were granted to the Canadian Pacific Railway Company by the charter, and supposing that Sir Hugh Allan had had no connection in any way with the company, from what you know of the policy of the Government, and from the communications between members of the Government and yourself and the Board, would not the same terms have been granted?

*Answer*—I have not the least doubt they would. I think the terms of the contract and charter were settled without the remotest reference to Sir Hugh Allan any more than to any other member of the Board. I never knew a suggestion of his, however much it might be pressed, if it appeared unreasonable, that was not rejected without ceremony. I don't know of any favor or concession ever having been made to him.

*Question*—Then you are satisfied that if the construction of the road had been confided to the Inter-Oceanic Company, they would have got the same terms?

*Answer*—I am quite satisfied of it.

*Question*—Then these subscriptions for election purposes had no effect on the charter?

*Answer*—No. So far as I knew, and I believe I was in a position to know as much as any one, the subscriptions for the elections had no influence whatever upon the negotiations. I never heard them referred to.

*Question*—Was it not the case that every effort made by Sir Hugh Allan to get any preponderance to his sectional influence was overruled?

*Answer*—I don't know that he made any special effort to give a preponderance to his sectional interests in the Canadian Pacific Company. but in the Canadian Pacific Company, the efforts that he made were overruled; for instance, I know that he made some suggestions in respect to the amalgamation, and they were overruled.

*Question*—There is a quotation made in one of Mr. McMullen's letters. A series of paragraphs from the paper, communicated by the Canada Pacific Company to the Government, stating distinctly that so far as that Company was concerned, they had no connection with American capitalists?

*Answer*—Yes.

*Question*—That truly expressed the action of the Canada Pacific Company?

*Answer*—Most decidedly.

*Question*—Of which you were a member?

*Answer*—Yes.

*Question*—You were not aware of this correspondence with Sir Hugh Allan?

*Answer*—I was not aware of it.

*Question*—So that these communications between Sir Hugh Allan, Mr. McMullen and others, were matters personal to Sir Hugh Allan himself, for which the Canada Company were in no way responsible, and which they repudiated when they were known?

*Answer*—Entirely so. Except as to the repudiation they did not become known until after the Canada Company had ceased to take any action in the Pacific matter.

*Question*—Mr. McMullen in the discussion of this particular question refers to a trifling matter to which I might as well refer. Mr. McMullen said in effect, that at an interview which he had with Sir John A. Macdonald, he gave him copies of documents, Sir Hugh Allan's drafts for the \$40,000, and the receipts of Mr. Todd for the Private Bills expenses of the Canada Improvement and Canada Pacific Railway Companies paid by him?

*Answer*—In that communication of Mr. McMullen he professes to slight or disparage the statement of the Canada Pacific Company, that they had nothing to do with the