

MENT

showing an ex-ported Eng-just what you nice, cool days ting. We are large variety of LANKETS, ROBES, plete Line of SHING GOODS, W PRICES. et Square.

FREE money in Toronto

ector, in the higher the public school, class for teachers, d be able to direct vements with more grades.

HON. MR. EM-SON. The Sun: yed from Hammond anadian Section Im-perial Institute v., a communication

Arm, with a large on, wishes to hear Canadian turkeys. is seeking a Cana- of wood pulp who arly. They would act as representa- of Scotland, or pur-elves.

s of manufacturers ng to export, for the are plenty of buyers persons who desire es of the above in- l do well to write ose address, in as- uld address C. A. t general of New ather Market, Lon- Yours truly, R. R. EMMERSON.

LAND TRADE. d. Trade Review gives ative statement of f Exports.

Table with 2 columns: 1898, 1899. Rows include various export categories with values.

Table with 2 columns: 1898, 1899. Rows include various import categories with values.

Table with 2 columns: 1898, 1899. Rows include various import categories with values.

Table with 2 columns: 1898, 1899. Rows include various import categories with values.

RPORT. und a list of patents y the Canadian gov- y, Marion & Marion, ts and experts, New s, Montreal: amys Bickle, Glad- guards for railroad Ferguson, Springhill, nning smashed po- oy, Quebec, P. Q., ue, Montreal, P. Q., Wilson, Glen Cross.

r Monfette, Ste. So- P. Q., devic for t & A. Dorais, St. es Cartier, acetylene

NOTES. gars were ad- lbs. in Toronto on mer in the primary at 11.1-8 to 11.1-c. in t, board on Wes- ic. was offered, Af- c. was bid; no sales. rday sales were at Belleville, at 9.1-2c.

advanced the price 30 per cent. Almost to be enhancing in etin.

ON AGAIN. hia Times). only a gorilla with Parkhurst ventures those people who themselves.

SUPREME COURT.

A Number of Very Important Judge-ments Delivered.

A Sabbath Observance Conviction as Heard in the Case of Ex. Parte Louis Green Quashed.

FREDERICTON, Nov. 17.—The supreme court delivered the following judgments this morning: Ex parte David Grant—Rule discharged. This was an application for mandamus to compel the stipulated magistrate of Moncton to issue a summons for applicant in several civil suits without payment of fees in advance. The applicant based his claim upon a resolution of the town council directing the magistrate not to insist on payment of fees in advance, the magistrate receiving a salary from the city for his services and being required by the act to account to the city for the fees of the office. The court held that the resolution of the city council was not binding on the magistrate, and refused the application.

Ex parte Temperance and General Life Insurance Co.—Rule nisi. This was an application for a rule nisi for certiorari to remove an order of discharge made by the clerk of peace of Carleton county in an action in which the applicant was plaintiff on the ground that the defendant gave a preference to another creditor by paying him \$20 after his arrest.

Ex parte A. Rainford Wetmore—Rule refused. This was an application for certiorari to remove an assessment made by the board of assessors of the city of Fredericton against applicant. The chief grounds were that he, as a servant of the provincial government, was exempt from taxation. The court held that he was not, and that the case of an employee of the provincial government was not analogous to that of a federal civil servant. The assessment was upheld on the ground of inequality, but the court held that this was no ground for quashing. "It is," the chief justice remarked, "every assessment in the province could be set aside."

James C. Robertson v. Bliss Steeves—Rule nisi for certiorari to remove order of Judge Wedderburn setting aside a garnishee order previously made by him.

Ex parte Peter W. Doak—Rule for certiorari discharged. The applicant was convicted of assault on his nephew, and it was sought to get rid of the conviction on the ground that the magistrate who issued the warrant and subsequently called in another judge to hear the case because of relationship to both complainant and defendant, had unduly interfered in the trial of the case. The court held that the interference alleged was not sufficient in their judgment to affect adjudication of the trial.

Robert Ackerman, appellant, and James W. Boyd, respondent—Appeal allowed with costs. Appellant took proceedings before two justices of the peace in Queens county under a summons issued by respondent from premises upon which he had entered under an agreement to purchase, but which agreement, after making several payments under it, he failed to carry out. The justices granted the ejecting order, but on appeal to Judge Wilson the latter set it aside on the ground that the summary ejectment act was not applicable to such a case. The supreme court took a different view, Judge Vanwart dissenting.

Ex parte Patrick Doherty—This was an application made before Judge McLeod for discharge of applicant on habeas corpus, and referred by Judge McLeod to the court for determination. Applicant was convicted of fourth offence under the Canada Temperance Act. A warrant was issued and placed in the hands of a constable, who held it for some time and then took it to the court to execute it. The latter induced the constable to hold off for a week or two by agreeing to deposit \$100 with him, and was subsequently arrested and lodged in jail. The application for his discharge was made on the ground that he had been twice arrested on the same warrant. The court held, Judge Vanwart dissenting, that even if an arrest had been effected on the first occasion when the constable was called off, it was called off by defendant's own request and he was therefore estopped. The case goes back to Judge McLeod with direction to refuse the application.

Ex parte Wm. C. Wallace—Rule absolute for certiorari to remove Scott Act conviction. In this case the constable's return proving service of the summons alleged a service upon a clerk in the applicant's hotel. The court held that a clerk of a hotel was not necessarily an adult inmate of the household within the meaning of the act, and in default of evidence of this the service was bad.

James E. Fraser and Mary A. Fraser, defendant appellants, and John McPherson, plaintiff respondent—Appeal from York county court allowed, but without costs. The action was on a promissory note and resulted in a verdict for the plaintiff. The defendants claimed that the note was to be paid out of the proceeds of the sale of a quantity of iron work, of which defendant Jas. E. Fraser had given plaintiff a delivery order with agreement that he was to sell the same and retire all outstanding claims held by the plaintiff against the said Jas. E. Fraser, and that Judge Wilson in his charge had misled the jury as to this matter, and in fact had practically withdrawn the defence from them. The supreme court held, Judge McLeod dissenting, that the case had not been fully tried and should go back for a new trial.

The following common motions were made: Ex parte Louis Green—A. W. Macrae moves for rule nisi for certiorari to remove conviction made against applicant before the police magistrate of St. John, N. B., for selling cigars on Sunday in contravention of the Sabbath Observance Act of the last session of the legislature. The ground taken is that the act is ultra vires of the provincial legislature as coming within the provisions of the British North America Act, which assigns to the Dominion parliament exclusive authority in matters relating to regulation of trade and commerce and to the criminal law; rule nisi.

Queen v. Chas. E. Knapp, clerk of the peace of the county of Westmorland ex parte Aaron Geddart—H. Barry, Q. C., moves to make absolute rule nisi to quash an order of discharge made by Knapp in suit in which applicant was plaintiff; rule absolute.

Howard W. Shaw, defendant, appellant, v. Hibbert W. Anderson, plaintiff, respondent—Geo. W. Allen, Q. C., moves to set off costs granted to applicant on appeal in this court against judgment and costs obtained by plaintiff respondent in York county court; rule refused.

Francis Savoy et al. claimants, appellants, and Michael Savoy, defendant, and Thomas Gill and Wm. Sweeney, owners of lumber, respondents—H. Barry, Q. C., moves to have appeal entered on county court appeal paper and dismissed for want of prosecution; ordered accordingly.

Dibble v. Frye—A. A. Wilson, Q. C., shows a cause against rule nisi to rescind an order of Judge McLeod setting aside the defendant's plea in an action on a limit bond; W. S. Wallace, Q. C., contra; still before court.

Argument on application to dissolve injunction in the case of the Attorney General v. the Tobacco Manufacturing Co. was to have been heard before Judge Barker, sitting in equity here today, but it went over by consent of counsel until the twelfth day after the opening of the legislature, to enable the application to make application for some legislation in the matter.

W. C. Whittaker v. the Travelers' Insurance Co. of Hartford—Dixon, Q. C., shows cause against a rule nisi for a review of taxation. Rule absolute for a review of taxation, allowing one-half costs of the writ.

Marvell v. Malcolm and How. Rule absolute for a review of taxation, the costs to be reduced by \$150. A. J. Gregory in support of rule; Ferguson, Q. C., showing cause.

Drury v. McLellan—Pugsley, Q. C., on behalf of defendant, moves for a new trial. Plaintiff contra. Court considers. The court then adjourned until tomorrow at 11 o'clock.

M. E. Dixon having presented his commission, was called within the bar.

WHAT SOME PEOPLE SAY ABOUT KUMFORT HEADACHE POWDERS.

Do You Know Any of Them?

TESTIMONIALS.

J. D. Buckley, of Rogersville, N. B., one of the representative business men, writes: I have used Kumfort Headache Powders with the best results. They never fail to cure me, and I find they create no habit by continued use. I am always pleased to recommend them.

JOHN D. BUCKLEY, Quebec, August 11th, 1899.

To-day I used Kumfort Headache Powders for the first time. Result, a perfect cure in half an hour. I can heartily recommend them.

MARIE LOUISE BRASSARD.

Everybody knows W. C. Baloom, the travelling jeweller from Hantsport, N. S. He speaks of Kumfort Headache Powders as follows: "I used them recently and found them a marvellous cure for Headache."

W. C. BALOOM, Folly Village, N. S., May 30th, 1898.

Oxford Junction, N. S., Sept. 6th, 1899.

For many years I suffered with headaches, and until I used Kumfort Headache Powders, could never find any permanent relief. I have used them with the greatest success for quite a while now and find my headaches much less frequent. They never fail to cure the most severe attacks in a few minutes.

MRS. STEPHEN COLBURN.

Mr. Robt. Crowe of Truro, the well known representative of the New Glasgow Milling Co., writes: "Kumfort Headache Powders work like a

charm with me. I heartily recommend them to all sufferers from headache in any form." Yours truly, R. S. CROWE. Buctouche, N. B., August 17th, 1898.

Truro, N. S., May 12th, 1898.

"Undoubtedly the best cure for Headache. I cannot praise Kumfort Headache Powders too highly."

H. C. FULTON.

Gerard's Island, Jan. 3rd, 1898.

Dear Sirs: Your Headache Powders do me so much good I will have to have some more of them. I will put 20 cents in this letter, and will you please send me two packages, And oblige your friend, BURRIS GERARD.

Thomson Station, N. S., March 21st, 1899.

I have suffered for nearly sixty years, off and on, with Headache, and the first permanent relief I obtained was from Kumfort Headache Powders. I never have to take more than two powders to effect a cure.

E. MATTINSON.

Joggins, N. S., February 20th, 1899.

I find Kumfort Headache Powders all right. They will check a Headache for me in a few minutes.

M. HENNESSEY.

The Clarendon, Quebec, August 10th, 1899.

Mr. Robert Lindsay, of Gaspe, is a well known man in Eastern Quebec. Speaking of Kumfort Powders he writes as follows: "I have used Kumfort Headache both for myself and in the family, and have no hesitation in recommending them most highly. I never had any faith in any Proprietary medicines until I used Kumfort Powders."

R. LINDSAY.

Thomson, N. S., February 26th, 1899.

F. Wheaton, Folly Village, Dear Sir—Please to send us 6 dozen 10 cent Headache Powders, they are the best selling medicine we have in the shop. Yours truly, E. MATTINSON & SON.

Advocate Harbor, Cum. Co., N. S., November 18th, 1897.

To F. G. Wheaton & Company, Gentlemen—I got a package of your Headache Powders from your agent, Simon H. Webb, and after taking three powders have been free from Headache since; I find them as good for headache as the agent recommended, and can recommend their use to others.

MRS. ARCHIBALD McLELLAN.

Vendome Hotel, Hampton, N. B., March 17th, 1899.

Kumfort Headache Powders I find the most effectual cure for headache. W. F. SCRIBNER.

Kumfort Headache Powders contain no opiates or any harmful drug and create no habit from continued use.

They are sold by all reliable Dealers in 10 and 25 cent packages, or by Mail, post paid, on receipt of price.

F. G. WHEATON COMPANY, LIMITED, Sole Proprietors, - - - Folly Village, N. S.

EPPS'S COCOA GRATEFUL COMFORTING Distinguished everywhere for Delicacy of Flavour, Superior Quality, and highly Nutritive Properties. Sold only in 1-4 lb tins, labelled JAMES EPPS & Co., Ltd., Homoeopathic Chemists, London, Eng.

WHITE'S COVE. WHITE'S COVE, Queens Co., Nov. 13.—Not much will be done this winter in the cordwood business. For a number of winters this has been the leading industry. Some logging will be done, and already several parties are in the woods. C. W. White came from the city Saturday with a large supply of winter stock. Mr. White is our leading storekeeper. John McPee recently sold a handsome blood mare to Chas. E. Farris

of Robertson's Point. A good price was realized. Mrs. William Durost is visiting relatives and friends in St. John.—Wellington Hantspacker, who spent the past summer in Portland, Maine, came home last week to visit his mother, Mrs. Geo. Palmer, and he will remain the winter. Miss Martha Kreutz, who has spent some years in St. John, has been called home to see her mother, who lies ill of consumption at White's Point. George Crouch of Mill Cove, who has spent the summer in the coasting trade, is home for the winter.

The new schooner built at Cumberland Bay was towed through the lake to St. John on Tuesday last, where it will be rigged out. Eben Scorbner is now-roofing his house, and Geo. Moss of Mill Cove is building in addition to his already commodious dwelling. Rev. J. Bennett Anderson, evangelist, was holding services at Upper Jemseg last week. Mr. Anderson purposes holding services again at Mill Cove in the near future. McLaughlin Brothers of Mill Cove leave today for Little River, Sunbury Co., to commence lumbering opera-

tions. They take their crew of men from about here. Geo. Moss of Mill Cove, who is making repairs upon his residence, has Alfred Stillwell of Union Settlement as boss carpenter. Mrs. Farris, wife of Hon. L. P. Farris, went to St. John today per May Queen. Rev. Mr. Anderson baptized eight converts at Lower Cambridge yesterday morning. They were received into the fellowship of the Baptist church there in the evening. Read the SEMI-WEEKLY SUN.

FOR 10 CENTS This book contains one hundred and fifty recipes, including the "Great Kitchen" and "The Art of Cooking" as well as numerous suggestions of how to make the most of your food. It is a book for every home. Sent post-paid with our illustrated catalogue of household goods for only 10 cents. Write for it to Johnson & MacFarlane, 21 Longo St., Toronto, Can.