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Pothier, in his Truité du Contrat de Ventr, lays down an unerring touchstone by which the transport may be known to be an assigninent of a Droit Litigieux.-First, It must be cold not a debt douteuse et incertain; and secondly, Th ere mist ias ses risques et id ses frais. This sale and warrant the debt, et que rachicur heracter from any ordinary assignment. It is true there is transfer here has no distinguishing character from any on the debt is warranted; but inasmuck not to be found in the Deed any express clause by whence qu'il vend existe, et lui appe-tient;" as there is an implied covenant in La. Here the debt not only is declared by the Heirs of La such a clause was quite unnecessary. Ma well as by the Judgment of the 20th June, 1809, not Croix, by their Agent Panl La Col belong to the Widow and Heirs. The mala fides of the Resto have existed, and hat in dent in making a formal venunciation of his rights, at the time when the Court were called pondent in making a formal renunciation of inence of the Fief, after an expence of above One Thousand Pounds, incurred through the false declarations of the Widow and Heirs, brings the Respondent within the 587th paragraph of Dothier's Contrat de Vente, and shews nost clearly "qu'it a commis un vol en rendant une préteusion qu'il sazoit mauraise ;" And against such a fraud, it may be asked, where is the Law by which it is declared that a Procureur or Avocat shall not be protected?

Qucbec, 20th July, 1819.

