might be uction of. nce, and ad absonative left laid out nditional is money ction was on the Ative of the he parties lleray, and m due by written to d the Apthe other ment with spossessed he suits in n this also Vidow and aving been the Jurishis money ns to bring latter progetting rid Action, to shes in this wharf, for at the snit the Widow , by the Atn the rights contestation nitted Comtaircs of the , to obtain y was in the ne to be opuced which hidated with and renounis being thus as a matter Majesty and

14%

583, 584, 585

586, and 587.

ten and parol ive on what nt of the 19th the fact of the at these arose heir property resorted to a llowed up by he snit. Nor s which were ue on the 30th gieux, and so is set up. It y taking a sum on himself by s."

itted to com-

oyer, that the Primitifs of the this beyond a tese arrears of here, it may be ix sold, or that Pothier, in his Traité du Contrat de Vente, lays down an unerring touchstone by which the transport may be known to be an assignment of a Droit Litigieux.—First, It must be sold as a debt douteuse et incertain; and secondly, There must be a covenant that the vendeur does not warrant the debt, et que Vacheteur la fasse valoir à ses risques et à ses frais. This sale and transfer here has no distinguishing character from any ordinary assignment. It is true there us not to be found in the Deed any express clause by which the debt is warranted; but inasmuch such a clause was quite unnecessary. Here the debt not only is declared by the Heirs of La Croix, by their Agent Paul La Croix, as well as by the Judgment of the 20th June, 1809, not to have existed, and that it did not belong to the Widow and Heirs. The mala fides of the Respondent in making a formal renunciation of his rights, at the time when the Court were called upon to decide the question, as to the existence of the Fief, after an expence of above One Thousand Pounds, incurred through the false declarations of the Widow and Heirs, brings the Respondent within the 587th paragraph of Dothier's Contrat de Vente, and shews most clearly "qu'it a commits un vol en vendant une prétension qu'it savoit mauxaise;" And against such a fraud, it may be asked, where is the Law by which it is declared that a Procureur or Avocat shall not be protected? Quebec, 20th July, 1819.

ès ,