red against us than they have been heret fore. Now, it has that the United States grow more of natural products that the United States grow more of natural products arequire, and that, therefore that is not a market for us, but the face of it, is very easily to be seen and very easily to be What is the result? Why, take the States that lie cont Ontario, to Quebec, to Nova Scotia, to New Brunswick, to Edward Island-take these States, comprising the New England and the great States of New York and New Jersey, and you that at the last census they had over 10,000,000 people in them these States do not grow enough wheat to bread themselves. only grow ten million bushels of wheat, when it would take fifty lion bushels to feed them. There is a market right at your very for forty million bushels of wheat. You could send it to them than their wheat producing fields, in far away Kansas and Neb if there were free commercial intercourse between us. If we had free intercourse, Canada would be the market from which these S would draw the supply of wheat, for the ten million barrels of flou they need per annum. Take the New England States, in which, on the testimony of the Ministry, the farms are forsaken, and where they are not growing what is needed for consumption ;- there you have immense cities like Boston, Lowell; Boston, with a population of over half a million. What are these immense cities requiring ? They re quire all the commodities which we produce in this country. Poteto are not grown in the New England States, or in New York, to an quantity like what they need for consumption; while we grow them in abundance, and our market for potatoes is in the United States.

hem this market, and that the Government continue in dipolicy that will tend to keep these markets more closely shut

ke fro

022

,667

708

.039

hing

hing

e United

immens

nnatural

afford a

of leger-

annot be

n for the

y of the d have a

s grown

one will t we are

with the

will have

zether in

greater

You have

with im-

ts claim

ut when

market

farmer.

ANSWER TO OBJECTIONS AGAINST UNRESTRICTED RECIPROCITY.

## The First Objection.

made against the proposal for Unrestricted Reciprocity is that we cannot get it. It is said the United States will not give it to us. The following resolution moved by Mr. Hitt and passed by the United. States House of Representatives, a complete answer to this objection.

"Resolved, That whenever it shall be duly certified to the President of the United States that the Government of the Dominion of Canada has declared a desire to enter into such commercial arrangements with the United States as will result in the complete removal of all duties upon trade between Canada and the United States, he shall appoint three commissioners to meet those who may be designated to represent