

Order Paper Questions

[Translation]

QUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER

(Questions answered orally are indicated by an asterisk.)

Mr. D. M. Collenette (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Madam Speaker, the following questions will be answered today: Nos. 2,009 and 2,076.

[Text]

MINISTERS' USE OF DND AIRCRAFT**Question No. 2,009—Mr. Cossitt:**

1. Does the Prime Minister or a cabinet minister have to file a request for the use of a Department of National Defence aircraft and (a) if so (i) to whom is such a request made (ii) who makes the decision (iii) is the person requesting the aircraft required to state all the reasons for such usage (b) if not, what is the procedure?

2. Is usage for important government business the only criterion and, if not, for what reason?

Mrs. Ursula Appolloni (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of National Defence): 1. See question 169 answered on October 7, 1980, page 3419.

2. Yes.

DONATIONS TO THE CROWN—1973 RECORDS**Question No. 2,076—Mr. Cossitt:**

With reference to the answer to question No. 1,707 which states in part, that total donations to the Crown during 1974-75 amounted to \$29,454 (a) for what reason do records for 1973 not exist (b) what happened to the records (c) were they destroyed and, if so, on whose instructions?

Hon. J.-J. Blais (Minister of Supply and Services): (a) and (b) As a result of the department's move from Ottawa to Hull, some detailed supporting documentation covering the period prior to 1974-75 was lost and/or misplaced; a search of it was carried out in the files presently stored in "Archives" but without success.

(c) See (a) and (b) above.

(d) Not applicable.

* * *

[Translation]

QUESTION PASSED AS ORDER FOR RETURN

Mr. D. M. Collenette (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Madam Speaker, if question No. 175 could be made an order for return, this return would be tabled immediately.

Madam Speaker: The questions enumerated by the hon. parliamentary secretary have been answered. Is it the pleasure of the House that question No. 175 be deemed to have been made an order for return?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

[Text]

AUDIO-VISUAL OPERATIONS WITHIN DEPARTMENTS**Question No. 175—Mr. Friesen:**

1. Which departments have (a) audio tape equipment (b) movie, slide, film strips, overhead projectors (c) recording studios and, in each case (i) in what quantity (ii) what is the estimated value of such equipment?

2. What was the cost of servicing this equipment in (a) 1977 (b) 1978 (i) within (ii) outside the public service?

3. Is there a central service and repair centre in the public service to repair and maintain audio tape equipment, video tape equipment, editing equipment and other equipment related to audio-visual equipment?

4. (a) How many tape recorders were purchased in (i) 1976 (ii) 1977 (iii) 1978 (iv) 1979, by department, and how many could be accounted for in November 1979 (b) what was the estimated value in each year (c) what number were lost to (i) damage (ii) other and what was the value of loss?

5. (a) Does each department have a system of inventory control (b) is a system of user signature against the serial number of the item used and, if not, what system is used?

6. How many times a year is a physical check of such equipment made?

Return tabled.

[Translation]

Mr. Collenette: I ask, Madam Speaker, that the remaining questions be allowed to stand.

Madam Speaker: Shall the remaining questions stand?**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.**GOVERNMENT ORDERS**

[English]

THE CONSTITUTION**RESOLUTION RESPECTING CONSTITUTION ACT, 1981**

The House resumed debate on the motion of Mr. Chrétien, seconded by Mr. Roberts, for an address to Her Majesty the Queen respecting the Constitution of Canada.

And on the amendment of Mr. Epp, seconded by Mr. Baker (Nepean-Carleton)—That the motion be amended in Schedule B of the proposed resolution by deleting Clause 46, and by making all necessary changes to the Schedule consequential thereto.

Hon. Erik Nielsen (Yukon): Mr. Speaker, last night before the House rose I was trying to lay the groundwork for what I have to say on behalf of Yukon and the Northwest Territories in connection with the proposed constitutional amendment. We have, on the one hand, the policy of the government opposite, as stated by the Prime Minister (Mr. Trudeau) publicly, that Yukon will not see provincial status in his lifetime. We have on the other hand, the nationally publicized policy of this party that Yukon is a natural candidate for provincial status and will be granted that status when the people of Yukon make that request.