

Delorme (Saint-Hyacinthe)	Dorion
Fortier	Fournier
Galt	Gibbs
Godin	Hagar
Holton	Hutchison
Joly	Jones (Halifax)
Kemp	Lapum
Macdonald (Glengarry)	MacFarlane
Mackenzie	Magill
McDougall (Renfrew South)	McMonies
Merritt	Mills
Morrison (Victoria North)	Oliver
Pâquet	Pelletier
Pozer	Redford
Ross (Prince Edward)	Ross (Wellington Centre)
Rymal	Scatcherd
Snider	Stirton
Thompson (Haldimand)	Thompson (Ontario North)
Wells	Whitehead
Workman	Young-54

NAYS

Members

Abbott	Archambault
Barthe	Beaty
Bellerose	Benoit
Bertrand	Blanchet
Bowell	Bown
Brousseau	Brown
Burpee	Cameron (Huron South)
Cameron (Inverness)	Cameron (Peel)
Campbell	Carling
Caron	Carter
Cartier (Sir George-É.)	Cayley
Chauveau	Cimon
Coffin	Costigan
Crawford (Brockville)	Crawford (Leeds South)
Cumberland	Daoust
De Cosmos	Delorme (Provencher)
Dobbie	Drew
Dugas	Ferguson
Ferris	Fortin
Gaucher	Gaudet
Gendron	Gray
Grover	Harrison
Hincks (Sir Francis)	Houghton
Howe	Hurdon
Jackson	Jones (Leeds North and Grenville North)
Keeler	Kirkpatrick
Lacerte	Langevin
Langlois	Lawson
Le Vesconte	Little
Macdonald (Sir John A.)	McDonald (Lunenburg)
McDonald (Middlesex West)	Masson (Soulanges)
Masson (Terrebonne)	McCallum
McConkey	McDougall (Trois Rivières)
McKeagney	McMillan
Metcalfe	Moffat
Morris	Morrison (Niagara)
Munro	Nathan
Nelson	Perry
Pinsonneault	Pope
Pouliot	Renaud
Robitaille	Ross (Champlain)
Ross (Dundas)	Ross (Victoria)
Ryan (King's, N. B.)	Ryan (Montreal West)
Savary	Scriver
Shanly	Simard
Smith (Westmorland)	Stephenson
Street	Sylvain
Thompson (Cariboo)	Tilley
Tourangeau	Tremblay
Tupper	Wallace (Albert)
Wallace (Vancouver Island)	Walsh
Webb	White (Halton)
White (Hastings East)	Willson
Wright (Ottawa County)-107	

Mr. YOUNG saw it was evident that the Finance Minister desired to remove the wholesome check in the present law which required a reserve of dollar for dollar in gold for all notes beyond nine million. This measure practically gave the Government power to issue Dominion notes to almost any extent, and to remove all checks upon an expansion of the currency. He believed that there was now in the country an inflation to a small extent and this would be greatly increased if this became law. Besides, one of the effects of it would be to place the banks of the country under the immediate control of the Finance Minister. That hon. gentleman might exercise the power wisely or unwisely, but it was not such a power as should be placed in the hands of any Minister.

He would move an amendment that the bill be referred back to Committee of the Whole, with instructions to provide that for any excess of Dominion notes issued over twelve millions the Government shall hold dollar for dollar in gold, as provided in the original Act.

Mr. WORKMAN said that the only objectionable feature he saw in the Bill was that it would enable the Government to put money into the hands of pet banks and otherwise to favour certain banking institutions. (*Hear, hear.*) He had thought the matter over very carefully, and he had come to the conclusion that he must vote for the amendment; not because he was opposed to an increase of circulation—for the business of the country required an increase—but he felt there should be some limit to the issuing powers of the Government. The amendment proposed a limit of twelve millions, and he thought the House would be safe in granting that.

Hon. Sir FRANCIS HINCKS wished to say distinctly and positively that the Government, in the issue of Dominion notes, had never made any distinction between the banks. They had treated them all alike, and had placed them on exactly the same footing. The hon. gentleman had used the words “pet banks,” referring he supposed to the Bank of Montreal, but that bank was the only one which had never received a dollar of Dominion notes. It was true the Government kept an account there, but as it had issued no bills itself, it had actually paid to the Government dollar for dollar for every note it had received.

Mr. WORKMAN disclaimed any intention of reflecting upon the Bank of Montreal, for nothing was further from his intention. If he were quite certain that the present Finance Minister would always have control of the finances of the country he would be quite confident as to the future; but the time might, indeed, must come, when that hon. gentleman would have to give place to another, in whom he (Mr. Workman) might not have the same confidence. This bill, however, once passed would be passed for all time, and the power it conferred would be given to the hon. gentleman's successor as well as to himself. If it were proposed to give effect to the Act only while the hon. gentleman remained in office he would have no objection to voting for it. (*Cheers and laughter.*)