

November, 1910

1910

List for
Offers

The Canadian Bee Journal

PUBLISHED MONTHLY

JAS. J. HURLEY, EDITOR, BRANTFORD, ONTARIO, CANADA

Vol. 18, No. 11.

NOVEMBER, 1910

Whole No. 549

It is with much pleasure we announce that Mr. White has accepted the position of assistant editor of the Canadian Bee Journal. We feel sure that this will be of considerable advantage to our readers.

* * *

We had not the pleasure of discussing the subject of co-operation with Mr. Bowen personally. We believe he is very favorable, however. But Mr. Chrysler and Mr. Arthur Lang are red hot and full of enthusiasm.

* * *

A resolution was introduced by Mr. Trinder, of Norfolk County, at the late O.B.K.A. Convention, which received unanimous approval, setting forth the desirability of changing the fruit spraying law. As the law now reads trees must not be sprayed while in full bloom. It appears that the law is evaded by a too literal interpretation of the word "full." The resolution urged the Minister of Agriculture to amend the law by striking out the word "full."

* * *

The Chicago-Northwestern Bee-keepers' Association will hold its thirty-first annual meeting at the Saratoga Hotel, 159 Dearborn St., Chicago, Ill., on the 30th of November and the 1st of December. This will occur during the International Live Stock Exposition held in Chicago that week. These meetings are open to every one and we hope that many will make every effort to attend.—Louis C. Dadant, Secretary, Hamilton, Ill.

* * *

Apropos of the Co-operative Movement we clip the following from the Farm Journal, which gives a good idea of the possibilities of Co-operation when rightly under-

stood and altruistically and intelligently applied:

"A business of more than \$11,000,000 a year has been done by the California Fruit Growers' Exchange, which markets more than half the citrus fruit crop of the state for the growers. It is purely co-operative, consisting of a central exchange with eleven directors, who are elected by the local exchanges, of which there are eighty, each consisting of growers living in one locality. Most of these local exchanges own thoroughly-equipped packing houses."

* * *

Gleanings very ably pleads for the standardization of bee hives, and suggests the 10-frame hive as a compromise between the eight-frame and the twelve-frame men. To this we give our cordial support, but we believe that it will be difficult to bring about. A large hive is alright for the man who is making honey production his chief business, and has the appliances for heavy lifting. But there are many hundreds of persons who are keeping bees for pleasure as well as profit, raising from five hundred to two or three thousand pounds of honey. A large number of these are elderly men and some women. The lifting of heavy supers presents great difficulties to such persons. In our own experience we frankly state that an eight-frame super filled with honey is about all we care to lift. Its weight is in our opinion the chief objection to a large hive. There is no pleasure or comfort in handling hives beyond one's strength. We believe, therefore, that it will be a very difficult matter to eliminate the eight-frame hive. It seems to be almost a necessity for the class of persons referred to.

THE LIBRARY, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

Journal
Canada