

*A Tabular Statement shewing the inequality of the representation in the House of Assembly.*

1. The towns of York, Brockville, Kingston, and Niagara, and the counties of Simcoe, Durham, Frontenac, Hastings, Stormont, Dundas, Haldimand, Oxford, Norfolk, Essex, Wentworth, Prescott & Russell, and Kent, contain 70,555 souls, and are represented by 26 members.

Proportions of the representation to the population in U. C. 1831.

The counties of Middlesex, Lincoln, Halton, York, Northumberland, Prince Edward, Lennox & Addington, Leeds, Grenville, Glengarry, Carleton, and Lanark, contain 141,503 inhabitants and are represented by 24 members.

2. The towns of York, Niagara, and Brockville, and the counties of Durham, Frontenac, Simcoe, Dundas, Norfolk, and Essex, contain 33,660 inhabitants who are represented by 14 members, while the counties of York and Lanark (exclusive of the Town of York) with a population of 33,324 souls are only permitted to send 3 members to the Legislature.

3. The county of York, 23,538, is represented by two members; the counties of Essex, Norfolk, Haldimand, Dundas and Simcoe, and the towns of Brockville, York, and Niagara, 22,960, by eleven members. These eleven represent a much smaller number of the people of Upper Canada than the other two.

The House District sends four members. The Newcastle District (with half the population) sends also four members. The Bathurst District, with 16,000 souls, sends two members, whilst the Western and Ottawa Districts containing less than 14,000 inhabitants are represented by four members; and at the next general election will send six representatives to the Assembly, unless the existing law should be sooner altered.

5. The following are the average proportions between the population and representation of the several Districts.

Home, .....	1	Member for every	7,000	inhabitants.
Niagara, .....	1	do.	do.	3,500 do.
Western, .....	1	do.	do.	3,000 do.
Bathurst, .....	1	do.	do.	2,000 do.
London, .....	1	do.	do.	3,750 do.
Eastern, .....	1	do.	do.	3,250 do.
Gore, .....	1	do.	do.	5,250 do.
Newcastle, ....	1	do.	do.	3,750 do.
Midland, .....	1	do.	do.	3,750 do.
Johnstown, ....	1	do.	do.	4,000 do.
Ottawa, .....	1	do.	do.	3,750 do.

Unequal as is the above distribution of the representation, it is rendered much more so by the far greater inequality of the division of the representation within the several Districts.

6. Another view of the inequality of the representation may be had by shewing in figures the number of representatives for each town or county at present, together with the population represented by each member, it being kept in mind that the inequality, under the *present law*, will necessarily be greater at every new election, the representation from villages and thinly populated counties will be proportionally increased, and the influence of wealthy and populous shires lessened.

23,538	inhabitants	send	2	members.
13,886	do.	do.	2	do.
11,882	do.	do.	2	do.
11,290	do.	do.	2	do.
9,794	do.	do.	2	do.
9,658	do.	do.	2	do.
9,534	do.	do.	2	do.
9,435	do.	do.	2	do.
7,097	do.	do.	2	do.