Quadrate 34

-1-

THE DEFENDING OFFICER: Sirs, a 200-days' illegal absence has been proven. The only reason there was a plea of "not guilty" was to speed up the trial.

Now, as to the kit: I think it was the testimony of Pte. Reynolds which certainly established the possibility, if not the probability, that this man's "nit has just neglected to mark off the 800, for the kit turned into Stores. It is highly improbable - I have never heard of a case - where a man has lost everything except what he stood up in. This is a case where everything except what he actually was wearing, is missing, and I would, therefore, ask the Court to dismiss the second charge against the accused, because it is pretty evidenent that his kit is still with the Unit.

4