

benefit those who want to use the laws to their advantage to take refuge as long as possible in Canada. Indeed, it is well known that uncertainty in the legislation fosters litigations which ultimately may have to be dealt with the Supreme Court of Canada. While these questions of principle are debated as they should be, many cases remain in abeyance. Surely, such a result is neither desired nor desirable.

I am confident, Mr. Speaker, that the Minister of Justice will be able to introduce in this House a bill dealing with this thorny issue of extradition appeals in the most orderly fashion possible. I understand that this legislation is in the makings and that this House will have the opportunity to examine it before long. This difficult issue must clearly receive special consideration by this House on a priority basis. However, I cannot agree with such a narrow approach as the one followed by Bill C-210 which would add new uncertainties to the act. Far from resolving the issue, Bill C-210 would be adding to the problem, and for this reason I cannot endorse it.

In closing I want to emphasize, Mr. Speaker, that our colleague's initiative should be commended by the members of this assembly and that it is more for technical reasons than because of the philosophy behind this legislation that I will have to vote against Bill C-210.

[English]

Mr. Jesse Flis (Parkdale—High Park): Mr. Speaker, as you noticed, I did try to get the floor quite a few times. Because this is a private members' bill, I feel it is my place to express the views of my constituents on this bill. They have lobbied very hard that the government do something to change the legislation so that someone committing a crime in one country will not look for haven in Canada or in other countries. So my constituents of Parkdale—High Park want to fully support this bill.

I do not buy the nonsense that the government members are giving, that it is not a good bill. That is why this process is referring it to a legislative committee. That is where all the kinks will be worked out. Anyone who will get up now and speak against this bill just to kill time should search his or her conscience and should report back to their constituents, because the message I

am getting from my constituents is: "You politicians do something about this". They are fed up with criminals like Ng taking refuge in Canada.

Some hon. members: Hear, hear.

Some hon. members: Question.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. DeBlois): Is the House ready for the question?

Some hon. members: Question.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. DeBlois): Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion?

Some hon. members: Agreed

Some hon. members: On division.

Motion agreed to, bill read the second time and referred to a legislative committee.

[Translation]

The Acting Speaker (Mr. DeBlois): Does the House agree to call it 6 o'clock?

I give the floor to the hon. member for York Centre.

Mr. Kaplan: Mr. Speaker, you know that there are three questions to be raised, requiring three answers.

I am here, but I do not know if the person to answer them has already arrived or even if the others who come before me are already here as well to make their statements.

PROCEEDINGS OF ADJOURNMENT MOTION

[English]

A motion to adjourn the House under Standing Order 38 deemed to have been moved.

AIRPORTS

Mr. Stan Keyes (Hamilton West): Mr. Speaker, I am privileged, as I always am, to rise this evening in debate on the adjournment proceedings.

On May 15, 1990, I rose in this Chamber on a question in an area of great interest to me, my constituents and to