

Questions

Mr. Eymard Corbin (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Fisheries and Forestry):

	(A) (Light dry basis equivalent)	(B) (dry basis equivalent)
1967	48,400,000 lb.	21,400,000 lb.
1968	39,600,000 lb.	19,700,000 lb.
1970	21,400,000 lb.	16,600,000 lb.

(end Oct. only)

STATUTORY AUTHORITY WITH RESPECT TO URANIUM
INDUSTRY

Question No. 592—Mr. Orlikow:

1. Did the Honourable the Prime Minister state on November 20, 1970 that there was statutory authority to cover the action taken by the government with respect to the Denison Mines and, if so, under which statute was such action taken?

2. Is it the intention of the government to bring in special legislation regarding the foreign ownership and control of the Uranium industry?

Mr. J. A. Jerome (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): I am informed by the Prime Minister's and Privy Council Offices and by the Department of Energy, Mines and Resources as follows: 1. Presumably the reference in the question to action taken by the government with respect to Denison Mines concerns the declaration on March 2, 1970 of the government's intention to prevent the transfer to non-Canadian hands of control of this company, if necessary by introducing an amendment to the Atomic Energy Control Act to take effect as of that date. On November 20, 1970, addressing the Liberal Policy Convention in Ottawa, the Prime Minister said in part: "We had on our statute books under the constitution the power to do something about the uranium industry because, as you know, it has been declared to be under federal jurisdiction and we had in our statutes something which permitted us to make the decision we did last spring concerning Denison Mines, to prevent the majority of our uranium resources from—the largest part of our uranium resources—from going into foreign control." This statement drew attention to two facts relevant to the government's declared intention: (a) The Atomic Energy Control Act as in force at that time might provide statutory authority for giving effect to the government's intention. (b) If not, the federal government had jurisdiction, as evidenced by that Act, to legislate with respect to the uranium industry.

2. Yes.

*CENSUS COMMISSIONERS AND CENSUS TAKERS

Question No. 613—Mr. Korchinski:

1. What method will be used to select the Census Commission for each division in the forthcoming census?

2. What method will be used in selecting the Census takers?

Mr. Bruce Howard (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce): Mr. Speaker, the answer to the first part of the question is that the com-

[Mr. Carter.]

missioners for the 1971 census will be appointed under the authority of the Statistics Act by the Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce.

The answer to the second part of the question is that the commissioners will select, on behalf of the minister, the census takers (enumerators) required in their respective census districts.

ENERGY—TRANS-CANADA PIPELINE AND REQUIREMENTS
OF ONTARIO AND QUEBEC

Question No. 619—Mr. Thomson:

1. At what percentage of capacity does the Trans-Canada gas pipeline operate?

2. What percentage of the energy requirements (heat, light, power) of Ontario and Quebec are supplied by (a) natural gas (b) oil (c) coal (d) water power (e) atomic energy?

Hon. J. J. Greene (Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources): In so far as National Energy Board is concerned: 1. Percentage capacity related to the ratio of the actual gas volume transported in the pipe line to its capability between the Alberta-Saskatchewan border and Toronto is approximately 98 per cent for the contract year from November 1, 1969 to October 31, 1970.

	Ontario	Quebec
(a) Natural Gas	21.7 per cent	4.6 per cent
(b) Petroleum	46.3 per cent	73.5 per cent
(c) Coal	23.7 per cent	3.7 per cent
(d) Hydro Electricity	8.2 per cent	18.2 per cent
(e) Nuclear Electricity	0.1 per cent	NIL

* * *

QUESTIONS PASSED AS ORDERS FOR RETURNS

PRICES AND INCOMES COMMISSION PERSONNEL

Question No. 53—Mr. Orlikow:

Who are the employees and staff of the Prices and Incomes Commission, and in each case (a) what was each employee's salary or wage in his previous employment (b) what was the former source of employment, i.e. public service, university, industry, etc. (c) what was the exact date of commencing work for the Commission (d) what salary or wage was offered and accepted by each employee when joining the Commission (e) what was the percentage increase or decrease in salary levels compared to his former source of employment (f) what salary or wage increases, if any, in amounts and percentage increases were received by the employees, and the reasons for such increases (g) what employees were hired on a contract basis and, in each case, what is the time limit and specific provisions regarding basic salary and future increments?

Return tabled.

COMPLAINTS REGARDING MISLEADING ADVERTISING

Question No. 98—Mrs. MacInnis:

1. For the fiscal year 1969/70, how many complaints were received by the Department of Consumer and Corporate Affairs regarding misleading advertising in Canada?

2. How many of these complaints were subject to an investigation by the Department?