

3. The equipment was manufactured by Mergenthaler Linotype Company, New York, and Fairchild Camera and Instruments Limited, Plainview, Long Island, New York, and was supplied by Canadian Linotype Company, Toronto.

- 4. (a) \$141,000, (b) 57,000 hours, (c) None.
- 5. Four months.
- 6. Yes.

7. It is now being used for parliamentary work and other composition requirements, following progressive training and experience. Its actual operation to date compares favourably with its potential.

8. The equipment was purchased by the Department of Defence Production from the suppliers mentioned in (3) above, following standard tendering procedures.

NATIONAL CAPITAL COMMISSION  
EXPROPRIATIONS, QUEBEC

Question No. 75—**Mr. Bell (Carleton):**

1. Since April 23, 1963, has the National Capital Commission expropriated any properties in the province of Quebec?

2. If so, how many properties have been so expropriated, on what date or dates, and for what purpose or purposes?

Date	Number of Properties	Purpose
May 8/63	19	To acquire the right-of-way for the northern entrance to the National Capital (New Provincial Highway No. 11).
June 12/63	11	To provide the right-of-way for a parkway joining Leamy Lake Park and Gatineau Parkway along the Gatineau River.
July 19/63	3	To provide the right-of-way for a parkway joining Leamy Lake Park and Gatineau Parkway along the Gatineau River.
July 30/64	117	To acquire the right-of-way beside the Ottawa River for the parkway approach to the proposed bridge between Deschernes and Britannia.
Jan. 18/65	1	As part of the railway relocation program for the National Capital, to acquire the land required to construct a railway line between the Lachute and Maniwaki Subdivisions of the C.P.R. Company.
Feb. 10/65	2	To acquire land required for the further development of Gatineau Park.

3, 4, 5 and 6. On August 23, 1963, during the temporary absence of the Chairman of the National Capital Commission, an informal request was made from the Minister to the Commission asking it to temporarily withhold further requests for authority to expropriate

Questions

3. Has any direction, instruction, or advice been given, either formally or informally, to the National Capital Commission that no further expropriations are to be proceeded with in the province of Quebec, or that any proposed expropriation in that province would not be approved by the governor in council?

4. If so, by whom was such direction, instruction, or advice given?

5. On what date was it given?

6. What precisely was the nature of the direction, instruction or advice?

7. Has any consideration been given by the National Capital Commission to the effect upon National Capital planning of such direction, instruction or advice?

8. If so, what conclusion has been reached by the National Capital Commission and has any submission been made, either formally or informally in respect thereto to the government?

9. Does any such direction, instruction or advice apply to any other province except the province of Quebec?

10. If so, to what province or provinces?

11. If not, for what reason?

**Answer:** 1. Yes.

2. (a) Total number of properties expropriated, 153.

(b) The answer to the remainder of Item 2, is set out in tabular form as follows:

Since April 23, 1963, the National Capital Commission has expropriated the following properties in the Province of Quebec:

in the Province of Quebec until further instructions were given. On April 16, 1964, instructions were given that the National Capital Commission could feel free to again seek authority to expropriate properties in Quebec as the need arose.