In view of the litany of complaints about FIRA (Foreign Investment Review Agency), I'd like to point out a few facts. Even now, after seven years of the FIRA regime, foreign ownership figures in Canada are at a level which I am sure you will agree would simply not be tolerated in the U.S. For example, according to latest available figures (1978), foreign investment in the United States accounted for 5% of the mining industry and 3% of the manufacturing sector. The comparable Canadian levels are 37% and 47%. The contrast is stark.

Furthermore, in 1978, non-residents controlled about 30% of all non-financial industries in Canada; the comparable U.S. figure was about 2%. Finally, while only two of the 50 largest firms in the United States are foreign-controlled, 19 of the 50 largest firms in Canada are foreign-controlled.

I regret bombarding you with these statistics, but I believe that the reason for Canadian action on foreign investment must be clearly understood. No country could allow these levels of foreign involvement to continue indefinitely. No country ever has. I do not have to remind this audience of the more recent reaction in this country to a degree of foreign penetration much, much lower than that occurring in Canada.

The essential point is that, having determined that the amount of foreign ownership and control was a concern, Canada chose to deal with the problem totally in accordance with our international undertakings. There has been no question of nationalization, confiscation or forced sale. Foreign investors have simply been told the conditions under which they would be welcome.

And I should emphasize the notion of welcome. Canada needs and wants foreign investment which will benefit all parties concerned. Foreign companies and individuals will continue to do business profitably in Canada. I don't believe that those who are complaining about our policies are in fact arguing that they have lost money on their investments. Certainly not. And by comparison with other countries, there are very few more secure places to invest money than Canada.

Let me now turn to the vexed question of <u>energy</u>. In the energy field, the cause of much recent anxiety has been Canada's National Energy Programme (NEP). Within the

- 5 -